

201 North Washington Square | Suite 910 Lansing, Michigan 48933

Telephone 517 / 482-6237 | Fax 517 / 482-6937 | www.varnumlaw.com

Timothy J. Lundgren

tjlundgren@varnumlaw.com

May 5, 2020

Ms. Lisa Felice Executive Secretary Michigan Public Service Commission 7109 W. Saginaw Highway P.O. Box 30221 Lansing, MI 48909

Re: MPSC Case No. U-20650

Dear Ms. Felice:

Attached for electronic filing in the above-referenced matter, please find the Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibits of Paul Wilken on behalf of Energy Michigan, Inc., as well as Proof of Service. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours, **VARNUM**

Timothy J. Lundgren

TJL/sej
Enclosures
c. ALJ
All parties of record.
16372919_1.docx

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of

CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY for
authority to increase its rates for the distribution
of natural gas and for other relief.

Case No. U-20650

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

PAUL WILKEN

ON BEHALF OF

ENERGY MICHIGAN, INC.

PAUL WILKEN REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

1	Q.	Please state your name and busines	ss address.		
2	A.	Paul Wilken, 3420 Grove Lane, Auburn Hills, MI, 48326.			
3	Q.	On whose behalf are you testifying	in this proceeding?		
4	A.	I am testifying on behalf of Energy N	Michigan, Inc. ("Energy Michigan").		
5	Q.	Please state your professional expe	rience.		
6	A.	I have worked in the energy busines	s since 1977, including over 20 years at Consumers		
7		Power, Consumers Energy, or CMS	Energy. For the last approximately 23 years, I have		
8		worked with gas transportation customers as their supplier representative and/or			
9		consultant. This work has included rate analysis to determine the best rate mix for each			
10		customer's facility. See my resume attached as Exhibit EM-1.			
11	Q.	Have you testified as an expert witness in prior proceedings before the MPSC?			
12	A.	Yes. I testified as an expert witness in the Consumers Energy gas rate case, U-20322.			
13	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits?			
14	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits:			
15		• Exhibit EM-1: Qu	alifications		
16		• Exhibit EM-2 Mid	chigan Sugar Company-Historical Rate Increases		
17		• Exhibit EM-3 Mid	chigan Sugar Company-Projected Rate Increase		
18		• Exhibit EM-4 CE	Sales Rates U-17197 vs Staff's U-20650		
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your testim	ony?		
20	A.	On behalf of Energy Michigan, I am	addressing Staff Witness Elaina M. Braunschweig's		
21		testimony related to Staff's Cost of S	ervice Study (COSS) and rate design.		

22

PAUL WILKEN REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

1	Q.	Do you think that Staff's COSS should be used as the basis for the rate design?
2	A.	No, I think that Consumers Energy's COSS Version 2 should be used for the basis of rate
3		design because Staff's COSS would result in an unfair burden on the Transportation class
4		customers.
5	Q.	Why do you think that Staff's COSS results in an unfair burden on the
6		Transportation class customers?
7	A.	Because the Transportation rate class has already incurred a significant increase in their
8		rates in just the last five years as a result of cases U-17643, U-17882, U-18124, U-18424
9		and U-20322.
10	Q.	Do you have an example of this?
11	A.	Yes, Exhibit EM-2 shows the impact to Michigan Sugar Company (MSC). MSC
12		currently has 3 XLT-Master, 6 XLT Contiguous, 1 ST-Master, 1 ST Contiguous and 6
13		GS-1 Aggregate accounts served from Consumer Energy's gas system. Including the
14		impact of the final order in case U-17643 on 1/13/15, the cumulative impact to MSC is an
15		estimated \$1,153,473 per year, an increase of 55% in less than 5 years. This includes the
16		reduction of an estimated \$156,502 per year as a result of the Federal Tax Law changes
17		in 2018 and an additional reduction of an estimated \$202,782 per year by switching from
18		6.5% Authorized Tolerance Level (ATL) to 4% ATL after U-18424 in 2018.
19	Q.	What do you estimate the impact to this customer would be if Staff's COSS and
20		recommended rate design were approved in U-20650?
21	A.	Per Exhibit EM-3, I estimate it would result in additional annual costs of \$799,443,
22		which is 25% over their current rates and would bring the combined total to \$1,952,916
23		per year, an increase of 93% in less than six years.

PAUL WILKEN REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

1	Q.	Does this have any direct economic impact on what would otherwise be considered
2		residential or small commercial account holders?
3	A.	Yes, it does. MSC is a cooperative and is owned by approximately 900 farmers. These
4		price increases are borne directly by MSC's grower-owners in the form of reduced
5		income for the beets they produce.
6	Q.	Is the 94% increase comparable to increases that other customer classes are seeing?
7	A.	No, as I show in Exhibit EM-4, the rates for Consumers Energy's General Service and
8		Residential Sales customers would increase by an average of 52% over this same period,
9		using Staff's recommended rates in U-20650. In my view, therefore, the burden on the
10		transportation class is disproportionate under the Staff's COSS.
11	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
12	A.	Yes, it does.

EXHIBITS OF PAUL WILKEN ON BEHALF OF ENERGY MICHIGAN, INC.

PAUL M WILKEN

MPSC Case No. U-20650 Exhibit EM-1 (PW-1) Page 1 of 1

3420 Grove Lane Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326

EXPERIENCE

EXECUTIVE ENERGY SERVICES, LLC

Senior Energy Consultant, 2015-Present

• Retail Energy Sales in Michigan and Ohio

LAKESHORE ENERGY SERVICES, LLC

Senior Account Manager, Retail Sales, 2008-2015

Retail Energy Sales in Michigan, Ohio and Illinois

CHARLEVOIX ENERGY TRADING, LLC

General Manager, 2006-2008

- Responsible for day-to-day operations of a Retail Energy Sales company
- Retail Energy Sales in Michigan, Ohio and Illinois

LAKESHORE ENERGY SERVICES, LLC

Account Manager, Retail Sales, 2003-2006

Retail Energy Sales in Michigan, Ohio and Illinois

CMS MARKETING SERVICES AND TRADING COMPANY

Senior Corporate Account Manager, Retail Sales, 1997-2003

• Retail Energy Sales in Michigan, Ohio and Illinois

CONSUMERS POWER/CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY

Customer Account Representative, Gas Marketing, 1995-1997

Managed the interface between the utility and their Gas Transportation customers

Electric Operations, 1988-1995

- Supervised the electric distribution dispatch operation
- Coordinated the electric preventative maintenance programs including tree trimming, circuit rehabilitation, street light routine replacement, underground equipment security and lightning protection improvements
- Responsible for resolving all electric outage related customer complaints
- Administered the clean-up process associated with PCB oil releases

Controller, Michigan Electric Power Coordination Center, 1984-1988

 Responsible for all aspects of managing the electric power supply for the Michigan Electric Coordinated Systems (MECS)

Power Controller, Transmission Operations, 1981-1984

- Coordinated the day-to-day operations of the electric transmission system including lines and substations
- Responsible for administering the switching and tagging procedures that were required for both routine and emergency work to be performed on the system

Technician, Electric Distribution, 1977-1981

• I held several different positions in the electric distribution area including mapping, right-of-way and electric distribution design

Michigan Sugar Company

Annual Impact of Consumers Energy's Gas Rate Cases - Historical Impact

Estimated Annual Costs

	Final Order					
Case Number	Date	Old	New	Annual Impact	%	
U-17643	01/13/15	\$2,101,650	\$2,209,908	\$108,258	5%	
U-17882	04/21/16	\$2,209,908	\$2,387,462	\$177,554	8%	
U-18124	07/31/17	\$2,386,884	\$2,975,975	\$589,091	25%	
Tax Credits	2018	\$2,975,975	\$2,819,474	(\$156,502)	-5%	
U-18424	08/28/18	\$2,819,474	\$2,813,382	(\$6,092)	0%	would have been +\$196,691 without switch to 4% ATL
U-20322	09/26/19	\$2,816,115	\$3,255,123	\$439,008	16%	
			Total	\$1,153,473	55%	

Michigan Sugar Company

Annual Impact of Consumers Energy's Gas Rate Cases - With Projected

Estimated Annual Costs

	Final Order				
Case Number	Date	Old	New	Annual Impact	%
U-17643	01/13/15	\$2,101,650	\$2,209,908	\$108,258	5%
U-17882	04/21/16	\$2,209,908	\$2,387,462	\$177,554	8%
U-18124	07/31/17	\$2,386,884	\$2,975,975	\$589,091	25%
Tax Credits	2018	\$2,975,975	\$2,819,474	(\$156,502)	-5%
U-18424	08/28/18	\$2,819,474	\$2,813,382	(\$6,092)	0% would have been +\$196,691 without switch to 4% ATL
U-20322	09/26/19	\$2,816,115	\$3,255,123	\$439,008	16%
U-20650*	TBD	\$3,255,123	\$4,054,566	\$799,443	25% may be reduced to +\$743,964 (23%) with 2% ATL
			Total	\$1,952,916	93%

^{*}Using Staff's recommended rate design

Summary of Consumers Energy's Gas Sales Rates

Since U-17197 (1/13/15) vs Staff's Recommendation in U-20650

		End of U-17197	Annual ¢		Staff	Ammunal &	0/
Residential Rate A		0-17 197	Annual \$		U-20650	Annual \$	%
	1 504 772	¢10.50	¢200 041 272	1 657 215	\$12.75	¢252 552 905	
Customer Charge per Month	1,594,772	\$10.50 (\$10.50)	\$200,941,272	1,657,215		\$253,553,895	
Income Assistance	93,500	(\$10.50)	(\$11,781,000)	69,000	(\$12.75)	(\$10,557,000)	
Income Assistance	140,060,000	\$0.00	\$0 \$34,644,568	12,000	(\$30.27)	(\$4,358,880)	
Energy Efficiency Surcharge per Mcf	149,960,000	\$0.2108	\$31,611,568	153,972,000	\$0.2603	\$40,078,912	
Distribution Charge per Mcf	149,960,000	\$2.6054	\$390,705,784	153,972,000	\$4.1671	\$641,616,721	E40/
Decidential Multifernily Date A 4			\$611,477,624			\$920,333,648	51%
Residential Multifamily Rate A-1	0.740	040 50	C4 404 040	0.440	640.7 5	#4 205 207	
Customer Charge per Month	8,740	\$10.50	\$1,101,240	9,119	\$12.75	\$1,395,207	
Excess Peak	8,696,000	\$0.0360	\$313,056	7,706,000	\$0.0776	\$597,986	
Energy Efficiency Surcharge per Mcf	6,714,000	\$0.2108	\$1,415,311	6,188,000	\$0.2603	\$1,610,736	
Distribution Charge per Mcf	6,714,000	\$2.6054	\$17,492,656	6,188,000	\$4.1671	\$25,786,015	450/
	0.1.7.1.5		\$20,322,263			\$29,389,944	45%
Our and Our to Date OO 4	Sub-Total Re	esidential	\$631,799,887			\$949,723,592	50%
General Service - Rate GS-1			* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		A .o.=o	*	
Customer Charge per Month	99,061	\$11.65	\$13,848,728	120,095	\$16.50	\$23,778,810	
Contiguous Account	8	\$0.00	\$0	52	\$14.00	\$8,736	
Energy Efficiency Surcharge per Mcf	20,323,000	\$0.1387	\$2,818,800	29,031,000	\$0.3067	\$8,903,808	
Distribution Charge per Mcf	20,323,000	\$2.1784	\$44,271,623	29,031,000	\$3.4374	\$99,791,159	
			\$60,939,151			\$132,482,513	117%
General Service - Rate GS-2						•	
Customer Charge per Month	27,367	\$18.70	\$6,141,155	9,704	\$82.98	\$9,662,855	
Contiguous Account	23	\$0.00	\$0	37	\$40.00	\$17,760	
Energy Efficiency Surcharge per Mcf	34,438,000	\$0.1387	\$4,776,551	26,853,000	\$0.3067	\$8,235,815	
Distribution Charge per Mcf	34,438,000	\$1.9590	\$67,464,042	26,853,000	\$2.6394	\$70,875,808	
			\$78,381,747			\$88,792,238	13%
General Service - Rate GS-3							
Customer Charge per Month	872	\$543.90	\$5,691,370	607	\$490.42	\$3,572,219	
Contiguous Account	52	\$0.00	\$0	32	\$80.00	\$30,720	
Energy Efficiency Surcharge per Mcf	10,607,000	\$0.1387	\$1,471,191	8,750,000	\$0.3067	\$2,683,625	
Distribution Charge per Mcf	10,607,000	\$1.0183	\$10,801,108	8,750,000	\$2.1505	\$18,816,875	
			\$17,963,669			\$25,103,439	40%
	Sub-Total GS		\$157,284,567			\$246,378,191	57%
Total Sales			\$789,084,454			\$1,196,101,782	52%

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of Consumers Energy Company for authority to increase its rates for the distribution of natural gas and for other relief.))) Case No. U-20650				
PROOF O	OF SERVICE				
STATE OF MICHIGAN)					
COUNTY OF INGHAM)					
	eing first duly sworn, deposes and says that she is a 5th day of May, 2020 she served a copy of the Rebuttal				
Testimony and Exhibits of Paul Wilken on behalf of Energy Michigan, Inc. upon those individuals					
listed on the attached Service List.					
	Sarah F. Jackinchuk				

SERVICE LIST MPSC U-20650

Administrative Law Judge

Honorable Jonathan Thoits thoitsi@michigan.gov

Counsel for Attorney General

Celeste R. Gill

Gillc1@michigan.gov

Counsel for Consumers Energy

Anne M. Uitvlugt Michael C. Rampe Theresa A.G. Staley Gary A. Gensch, Jr. Ian F. Brugess

Anne.uitvlugt@cmsenergy.com
Michael.rampe@Cmsenegy.com
Mpsc.filings@cmsenergy.com
Theresa.staley@cmsenergy.com
Gary.genschjr@cmsenergy.com
Ian.burgess@cmsenergy.com

Counsel for Michigan State University

Peter Ellsworth Nolan Moody pellsworth@dickinson-wright.com nmoody@dickinson-wright.com

Counsel for Midland Cogeneration Venture, LP

John Hanselman John Janiszewski jhanselman@dykema.com jjaniszewski@dykema.com

Counsel for Michigan Public Service Commission

Heather Durian
Spenser A. Sattler
Monica Stephens
DurianH@michigan.gov
sattlers@michigan.gov
Stephensm11@michigan.gov

Counsel for ABATE

Bryan Brandenburg Michael Pattwell Stephen Campbell bbrandenburg@clarkhill.com mpattwell@clarkhill.com

scampbell@clarkhill.com

Counsel for Lansing Board of Water and Light

Richard Aaron Courtney Kissel Lauran Fitzsimons raaron@dykema.com ckissel@dykema.com lfitzsimons@dykema.com

Counsel for RESA

Jennifer Heston jheston@fraserlawfirm.com

Counsel for Residential Customer Group

Don Keskey Brian Coyer <u>donkeskey@publiclawresourcecenter.com</u> <u>bwcoyer@publiclawresourcecenter.com</u>

Counsel for Citizens Utility Board of Michigan

John Liskey Christopher Bzdok Lydia Barbash-Riley john@liskeypllc.com chris@envlaw.com lydia@envlaw.com