August 23, 2019

Ms. Barbara Kunkel
Executive Secretary
Michigan Public Service Commission
7109 W. Saginaw Highway
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Re: MPSC Case No. U-20471

Dear Ms. Kunkel:

Attached for electronic filing in the above-referenced matter, please find the As-filed version of the Direct Testimony & Exhibits of Betsy Engelking, with the only change being a correction to the cover letter—said testimony was submitted on behalf of Geronimo Energy, LLC, not Geronimo Wind Energy, LLC, as well as Proof of Service. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Timothy J. Lundgren

TJL/sej
STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the Application of

DTE ELECTRIC COMPANY for

approval of its Integrated Resource Plan

pursuant to MCL 460.6t, and for other relief.

Case No. U-20471

INITIAL TESTIMONY OF

BETSY ENGELKING

ON BEHALF OF

GERONIMO ENERGY
Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Betsy Engelking. My business address is 7650 Edinborough Way, Suite 725, Edina, MN 55435.

Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?

A. I am currently Vice President of Strategy and Policy for Geronimo Energy, LLC. Geronimo is a wind and solar energy developer operating in Michigan, along with other states in the upper Midwest and elsewhere.

Q. Please describe your educational background and business experience.

A. I obtained a BS in biology from the College of William and Mary in Virginia, and a MBA in finance and economics from the Carlson School of Business at the University of Minnesota. I spent ten years as a principal adviser to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, working on issues such as utility rates, energy efficiency, integrated resource planning, renewable energy standards and competitive markets. Thereafter, I joined Great River Energy, a large G&T Cooperative, where I worked on Transmission Service Agreements and later managed GRE’s Resource Planning and Acquisition group. Prior to joining Geronimo, I served as Director of Resource Planning and Bidding for Xcel Energy, a large investor-owned utility with operating companies in the upper Midwest, Colorado and the Southwest.
Q. Please describe your job responsibilities.

A. As VP of Strategy and Policy, I lead Geronimo’s legislative and regulatory efforts at both the state and federal level. I also direct the analysis of markets and programs to develop strategies for growth, and assist with energy sales and contract negotiation.

Q. Have you previously testified before the Michigan Public Service Commission or other State or Federal Regulatory Commissions?

A. Yes. I have recently provided testimony in MPSC cases U-18231, U-18232, and U-20156. Over my career I have also testified on utility and energy matters in over 100 proceedings before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, the North Dakota Public Service Commission, the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, the Colorado Public Utilities Commission and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Q. On whose behalf are you submitting your testimony in this proceeding?

A. I am submitting testimony on behalf of Geronimo Energy, LLC.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is two-fold: First, to offer an alternative proposal to DTE's Proposed Course of Action ("PCA"), as allowed under MCL 460.6t(6); and second, to offer a critique of DTE's proposal.

Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits?

A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following exhibits:

- Exhibit GE-1: December 12, 2017 letter to DTE
Q. Are you aware that the Commission in its recent order in U-18232 referred approximately 1,062 MW of DTE's projected solar and wind for consideration in this IRP proceeding?

A. Yes, I am aware of that. Geronimo Energy was an intervenor and participant in the U-18232 proceeding, where we argued that DTE should be required to consider third-party options, including PURPA QFs such as our Greenwood Solar facility, and not be allowed to present and have approved a Renewable Energy Plan that only considered utility-owned projects. As a result of the Commission's order in U-18232, it is my understanding that DTE now needs to fill an additional 1,062 MW of capacity need in this proceeding, and that it will need to be met by renewable resources.

Q. Does Geronimo Energy have a renewable generation project in DTE's territory with which it can offer to meet some of DTE's projected capacity and renewable energy needs?

A. Yes. Geronimo Energy has been attempting to discuss the sale of energy and capacity with DTE from its Greenwood Solar project in DTE's service territory since December of 2017. See Exhibit GE-1.  

---

1 As noted below, on account of delays encountered by Geronimo Energy while attempting to interconnect and negotiate a PPA with DTE, the estimated in-service date of the Greenwood Solar project has been pushed back into 2020.
Q. Please describe this project.

A. Greenwood Solar is a 20 MW solar project that is under development in St. Clair County, Michigan. Its original completion date was 2019, but this has been revised to 2020 due to delays encountered in attempting to interconnect and negotiate a PPA with DTE. Once completed, it will generate approximately 27,000 MWh per year. Greenwood Solar is a certified Qualifying Facility ("QF") under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, known as PURPA, and will interconnect with DTE’s distribution system. This project would thus be available to meet up to 20 MW of DTE’s projected capacity and renewable energy needs. Because the project is a PURPA QF, it is eligible for avoided cost pricing, which means that DTE ratepayers are assured of not paying more than the cost of what the utility would pay for its next additional resource, as determined by the Commission, at the time when the QF established a legally enforceable obligation ("LEO") with the utility.

Q. Is there current litigation involving Greenwood Solar and DTE?

A. Yes there is. In Case No. U-20156, Greenwood Solar brought a complaint against DTE for the utility's failure to negotiate a PPA, and for its failures to proceed with the interconnection process in a timely manner, leading to significant project delays and cost increases. Furthermore, Greenwood Solar has sought from the Commission a determination of when the QF established a LEO with the utility, since the utility has refused to sign a contract with Greenwood Solar. That proceeding has now completed and is awaiting final disposition by the Commission. It is therefore ripe, and the
BETSY ENGELKING
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Commission can resolve both that proceeding and the issue of how Greenwood Solar's available capacity and energy can be fit into DTE's projected needs going forward here in this IRP.

Q. Is the Proposed Course of Action that DTE has presented adequate to satisfy the requirements for the IRP and the Commission's remand from U-18232?

A. No, I do not believe that it is. I am not an attorney and will not attempt to perform a legal analysis of the statutory requirements of MCL 460.6t. However, as Vice President for Strategy and Policy for Geronimo Energy, I am accustomed to reading statutory and regulatory requirements and applying them to energy project development proposals. Under MCL 460.6t(8), the Commission must make a determination that DTE's IRP "represents the most reasonable and prudent means of meeting the electric utility's energy and capacity needs." MCL 460.6t(8)(a). To make that determination, the Commission must engage in a balancing test that includes all of the following factors:

1) Resource adequacy and capacity to serve anticipated peak electrical load, applicable planning reserve margin and local clearing requirement;

2) Compliance with applicable state and federal environmental regulations;

3) Competitive pricing;

4) Reliability;

5) Commodity price risks;

6) Diversity of generation supply;

7) Whether the proposed levels of peak load reduction and energy waste reduction are reasonable and cost effective.
In addition, the construction or investment in the project should, to the extent practicable, be completed using a workforce composed of state residents. Furthermore, the requirements of MCL 460.6t(5) must be met. Among the requirements in subsection (5) is that found at 6t(5)(k), which requires "An analysis of the cost, capacity factor, and viability of all reasonable options available to meet projected energy and capacity needs, including, but not limited to, existing electric generation facilities in this state."

Among all these requirements, those that stand out to me are the ones requiring competitive pricing, diversity of generation supply, and an analysis of all reasonable options available. I do not believe that DTE has met any of those requirements.

Q. Why do you not believe that DTE has met these standards?

A. As to the requirement of MCL 460.6t(5)(k) that DTE provide an analysis of "all reasonable options available," DTE has failed to consider Greenwood Solar, despite our many attempts to negotiate an agreement with the utility. We have therefore provided it as an alternative proposal in this proceeding so that the Commission may consider it directly. See Exhibit GE-2. However, this is a clear failure on DTE's part to meet the statutory requirements. On this issue, it is telling that the reason the Commission rejected significant portions of DTE's Renewable Energy Plan was this very same failure to consider third party options as appears to have happened here.
Q. Has DTE considered third-party-owned options in this proceeding?
A. No they have not. As they admitted in a discovery response, DTE only modeled utility ownership of all of the renewable energy capacity. See Exhibit GE-3. This is the same "fatal flaw" identified by the Administrative Law Judge in U-18232 for which the Commission rejected significant portions of the plan.

Q. Why do you believe that DTE has not met the requirement that its PCA offers the most reasonable and prudent course in terms of competitive pricing?
A. Because DTE failed to consider alternatives other than utility-owned generation sources. DTE has admitted in its discovery responses that its modelling assumes utility ownership of all renewable generation resources, and that utility ownership would be the least expensive course. See Exhibits GE-3 & GE-4. These are unsupported assumptions. Worse, by failing to even consider third-party options in its IRP, just as it did in its Renewable Energy Plan in U-18232, DTE has hamstrung the ability of the Commission, Staff, and intervenors to perform a complete and thorough review of DTE's proposal. If the standard that DTE must demonstrate its proposal meets is that it is "the most" reasonable and prudent, that is an inherently comparative standard. DTE has effectively refused to provide the data with which the Commission and others can make that comparison. It has thus failed to adequately present its case.

Q. What about the criteria of "diversity of generation supply"?
A. I do not believe that DTE can meet this criteria by considering only utility-owned resources.
Q. Do you believe that "diversity of generation supply" requires diversity of ownership?

A. Let me put it this way. The statute says "diversity of generation supply." If it meant only "fuel supply" it could easily have said that, but it didn't. To me, "generation supply" includes ownership of that generation and the risks that go along with that ownership. Therefore, among the considerations inherent in considering "generation supply" is who owns the generation, who is assuming the risks of operational failures. Ratepayers, for instance, are much more insulated from risk when the utility contracts for supply from an independently owned generation source than when that source is utility owned and operated, as DTE has proposed here. By failing to even consider third-party ownership options here, DTE has failed to meet the standard that it demonstrate that its PCA is "the most" reasonable and prudent as compared to other options.

Q. If DTE contracts with Greenwood or other QF generators for sale of its power, would such purchases guarantee DTE the Renewable Energy Credits?

A. No, they would not. Renewable Energy Credits ("RECs") are, under the determinations of the Commission made in Case No. U-18091, owned by the QF and do not transfer automatically with the sale of capacity and energy to the utility. However, they can be conveyed to the utility at a mutually agreeable price either in that contract or in a separate one. They are, therefore, a potential resource that DTE should be evaluating in this IRP.
Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

A. Yes it does.
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December 12, 2017

Ms. Michelle Lynn Larue
DTE Electric Company
414 S. Main St, Suite 600
Ann Arbor, MI 48104

Re: Greenwood Solar, LLC

Dear Ms. Larue:

We are writing on behalf of Greenwood Solar, LLC ("Greenwood Solar") to DTE Electric Company ("DTE Electric") to commence discussions under Michigan law and Section 210 of the Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") for Greenwood Solar as a Qualifying Facility ("QF") to enter into contract with DTE Electric to purchase the energy and capacity made available from Greenwood Solar in accordance with DTE Electric’s obligations under 18 CFR § 292.303. We understand that the Michigan Public Service Commission ("MPSC") has yet to make a final determination as to DTE Electric’s avoided costs in Case No. U-18091. Nevertheless, we wish to open discussions with DTE Electric at this time, anticipating that as the contested case process is completed, a decision from the MPSC in U-18091 is imminent.

Greenwood Solar is a 20 MW AC solar generating facility with an expected in service date of December 31, 2019, located in St. Claire County at the following coordinates: west 82.700 degrees longitude, north 43.092 degrees latitude. Greenwood Solar was certified as a small power production facility with approximately 20 MW of capacity via a filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") on December 1, 2017, with a QF docket number of QF18-303.

Greenwood Solar intends to follow FERC's regulations and Michigan's implementation of PURPA to supply energy and capacity to DTE Electric "pursuant to a legally enforceable obligation for the delivery of energy and capacity over a specified term" in accordance with 18 CFR § 292.304(d) and the MPSC's Orders in Case No. U-18091. Greenwood Solar requests a 20-year power purchase agreement with DTE Electric at the avoided cost rate to be set in the upcoming MPSC Order in U-18091.
December 10, 2017
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Please respond to this notice of PURPA obligation within ten business days of the date of this letter by responding to Tim Lundgren at tlundgren@varnumlaw.com or P.O. Box 352, Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0352.

Sincerely,

VARNUM

[Signature]

Timothy J. Lundgren

Cc: Angela P. Wojtowicz

TJL/kc
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FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC

Form 556 Certification of Qualifying Facility (QF) Status for a Small Power Production or Cogeneration Facility

General

Questions about completing this form should be sent to Form556@ferc.gov. Information about the Commission's QF program, answers to frequently asked questions about QF requirements or completing this form, and contact information for QF program staff are available at the Commission's QF website, www.ferc.gov/QF. The Commission's QF website also provides links to the Commission's QF regulations (18 C.F.R. § 131.80 and Part 292), as well as other statutes and orders pertaining to the Commission's QF program.

Who Must File

Any applicant seeking QF status or recertification of QF status for a generating facility with a net power production capacity (as determined in lines 7a through 7g below) greater than 1000 kW must file a self-certification or an application for Commission certification of QF status, which includes a properly completed Form 556. Any applicant seeking QF status for a generating facility with a net power production capacity 1000 kW or less is exempt from the certification requirement, and is therefore not required to complete or file a Form 556. See 18 C.F.R. § 292.203.

How to Complete the Form 556

This form is intended to be completed by responding to the items in the order they are presented, according to the instructions given. If you need to back-track, you may need to clear certain responses before you will be allowed to change other responses made previously in the form. If you experience problems, click on the nearest help button ( ) for assistance, or contact Commission staff at Form556@ferc.gov.

Certain lines in this form will be automatically calculated based on responses to previous lines, with the relevant formulas shown. You must respond to all of the previous lines within a section before the results of an automatically calculated field will be displayed. If you disagree with the results of any automatic calculation on this form, contact Commission staff at Form556@ferc.gov to discuss the discrepancy before filing.

You must complete all lines in this form unless instructed otherwise. Do not alter this form or save this form in a different format. Incomplete or altered forms, or forms saved in formats other than PDF, will be rejected.

How to File a Completed Form 556

Applicants are required to file their Form 556 electronically through the Commission's eFiling website (see instructions on page 2). By filing electronically, you will reduce your filing burden, save paper resources, save postage or courier charges, help keep Commission expenses to a minimum, and receive a much faster confirmation (via an email containing the docket number assigned to your facility) that the Commission has received your filing.

If you are simultaneously filing both a waiver request and a Form 556 as part of an application for Commission certification, see the "Waiver Requests" section on page 3 for more information on how to file.

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

This form is approved by the Office of Management and Budget. Compliance with the information requirements established by the FERC Form No. 556 is required to obtain or maintain status as a QF. See 18 C.F.R. § 131.80 and Part 292. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The estimated burden for completing the FERC Form No. 556, including gathering and reporting information, is as follows: 3 hours for self-certification of a small power production facility, 8 hours for self-certifications of a cogeneration facility, 6 hours for an application for Commission certification of a small power production facility, and 50 hours for an application for Commission certification of a cogeneration facility. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the following: Information Clearance Officer, Office of the Executive Director (ED-32), Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street N.E., Washington, DC 20426 (Data Clearance@ferc.gov); and Desk Officer for FERC, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 (oir_submission@omb.eop.gov). Include the Control No. 1902-0075 in any correspondence.
# Electronic Filing (eFiling)

To electronically file your Form 556, visit the Commission's QF website at [www.ferc.gov/QF](http://www.ferc.gov/QF) and click the eFiling link.

If you are eFiling your first document, you will need to register with your name, email address, mailing address, and phone number. If you are registering on behalf of an employer, then you will also need to provide the employer name, alternate contact name, alternate contact phone number and and alternate contact email.

Once you are registered, log in to eFiling with your registered email address and the password that you created at registration. Follow the instructions. When prompted, select one of the following QF-related filing types, as appropriate, from the Electric or General filing category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filing category</th>
<th>Filing Type as listed in eFiling</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric</td>
<td>(Fee) Application for Commission Cert. as Cogeneration QF</td>
<td>Use to submit an application for Commission certification or Commission recertification of a cogeneration facility as a QF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Fee) Application for Commission Cert. as Small Power QF</td>
<td>Use to submit an application for Commission certification or Commission recertification of a small power production facility as a QF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-Certification Notice (QF, EG, FC)</td>
<td>Use to submit a notice of self-certification of your facility (cogeneration or small power production) as a QF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-Recertification of Qualifying Facility (QF)</td>
<td>Use to submit a notice of self-recertification of your facility (cogeneration or small power production) as a QF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental Information or Request</td>
<td>Use to correct or supplement a Form 556 that was submitted with errors or omissions, or for which Commission staff has requested additional information. Do not use this filing type to report new changes to a facility or its ownership; rather, use a self-recertification or Commission recertification to report such changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>(Fee) Petition for Declaratory Order (not under FPA Part 1)</td>
<td>Use to submit a petition for declaratory order granting a waiver of Commission QF regulations pursuant to 18 C.F.R. §§ 292.204(a)(3) and/or 292.205(c). A Form 556 is not required for a petition for declaratory order unless Commission recertification is being requested as part of the petition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You will be prompted to submit your filing fee, if applicable, during the electronic submission process. Filing fees can be paid via electronic bank account debit or credit card.

During the eFiling process, you will be prompted to select your file(s) for upload from your computer.
Filing Fee

No filing fee is required if you are submitting a self-certification or self-recertification of your facility as a QF pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 292.207(a).

A filing fee is required if you are filing either of the following:

1. an application for Commission certification or recertification of your facility as a QF pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 292.207(b), or
2. a petition for declaratory order granting waiver pursuant to 18 C.F.R. §§ 292.204(a)(3) and/or 292.205(c).

The current fees for applications for Commission certifications and petitions for declaratory order can be found by visiting the Commission's QF website at www.ferc.gov/QF and clicking the Fee Schedule link.

You will be prompted to submit your filing fee, if applicable, during the electronic filing process described on page 2.

Required Notice to Utilities and State Regulatory Authorities

Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 292.207(a)(ii), you must provide a copy of your self-certification or request for Commission certification to the utilities with which the facility will interconnect and/or transact, as well as to the State regulatory authorities of the states in which your facility and those utilities reside. Links to information about the regulatory authorities in various states can be found by visiting the Commission’s QF website at www.ferc.gov/QF and clicking the Notice Requirements link.

What to Expect From the Commission After You File

An applicant filing a Form 556 electronically will receive an email message acknowledging receipt of the filing and showing the docket number assigned to the filing. Such email is typically sent within one business day, but may be delayed pending confirmation by the Secretary of the Commission of the contents of the filing.

An applicant submitting a self-certification of QF status should expect to receive no documents from the Commission, other than the electronic acknowledgement of receipt described above. Consistent with its name, a self-certification is a certification by the applicant itself that the facility meets the relevant requirements for QF status, and does not involve a determination by the Commission as to the status of the facility. An acknowledgement of receipt of a self-certification, in particular, does not represent a determination by the Commission with regard to the QF status of the facility. An applicant self-certifying may, however, receive a rejection, revocation or deficiency letter if its application is found, during periodic compliance reviews, not to comply with the relevant requirements.

An applicant submitting a request for Commission certification will receive an order either granting or denying certification of QF status, or a letter requesting additional information or rejecting the application. Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 292.207(b)(3), the Commission must act on an application for Commission certification within 90 days of the later of the filing date of the application or the filing date of a supplement, amendment or other change to the application.

Waiver Requests

18 C.F.R. § 292.204(a)(3) allows an applicant to request a waiver to modify the method of calculation pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 292.204(a)(2) to determine if two facilities are considered to be located at the same site, for good cause. 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(c) allows an applicant to request waiver of the requirements of 18 C.F.R. §§ 292.205(a) and (b) for operating and efficiency upon a showing that the facility will produce significant energy savings. A request for waiver of these requirements must be submitted as a petition for declaratory order, with the appropriate filing fee for a petition for declaratory order. Applicants requesting Commission recertification as part of a request for waiver of one of these requirements should electronically submit their completed Form 556 along with their petition for declaratory order, rather than filing their Form 556 as a separate request for Commission recertification. Only the filing fee for the petition for declaratory order must be paid to cover both the waiver request and the request for recertification if such requests are made simultaneously.

18 C.F.R. § 292.203(d)(2) allows an applicant to request a waiver of the Form 556 filing requirements, for good cause. Applicants filing a petition for declaratory order requesting a waiver under 18 C.F.R. § 292.203(d)(2) do not need to complete or submit a Form 556 with their petition.
Geographic Coordinates

If a street address does not exist for your facility, then line 3c of the Form 556 requires you to report your facility's geographic coordinates (latitude and longitude). Geographic coordinates may be obtained from several different sources. You can find links to online services that show latitude and longitude coordinates on online maps by visiting the Commission's OF webpage at www.ferc.gov/QF and clicking the Geographic Coordinates link. You may also be able to obtain your geographic coordinates from a GPS device, Google Earth (available free at http://earth.google.com), a property survey, various engineering or construction drawings, a property deed, or a municipal or county map showing property lines.

Filing Privileged Data or Critical Energy Infrastructure Information in a Form 556

The Commission's regulations provide procedures for applicants to either (1) request that any information submitted with a Form 556 be given privileged treatment because the information is exempt from the mandatory public disclosure requirements of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and should be withheld from public disclosure; or (2) identify any documents containing critical energy infrastructure information (CEII) as defined in 18 C.F.R. § 388.113 that should not be made public.

If you are seeking privileged treatment or CEII status for any data in your Form 556, then you must follow the procedures in 18 C.F.R. § 388.112. See www.ferc.gov/help/filing-guide/file-ceil.asp for more information.

Among other things (see 18 C.F.R. § 388.112 for other requirements), applicants seeking privileged treatment or CEII status for data submitted in a Form 556 must prepare and file both (1) a complete version of the Form 556 (containing the privileged and/or CEII data), and (2) a public version of the Form 556 (with the privileged and/or CEII data redacted). Applicants preparing and filing these different versions of their Form 556 must indicate below the security designation of this version of their document. If you are not seeking privileged treatment or CEII status for any of your Form 556 data, then you should not respond to any of the items on this page.

Non-Public: Applicant is seeking privileged treatment and/or CEII status for data contained in the Form 556 lines indicated below. This non-public version of the applicant's Form 556 contains all data, including the data that is redacted in the (separate) public version of the applicant's Form 556.

Public (redacted): Applicant is seeking privileged treatment and/or CEII status for data contained in the Form 556 lines indicated below. This public version of the applicant's Form 556 contains all data except for data from the lines indicated below, which has been redacted.

Privileged: Indicate below which lines of your form contain data for which you are seeking privileged treatment 7a-g

Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII): Indicate below which lines of your form contain data for which you are seeking CEII status

The eFiling process described on page 2 will allow you to identify which versions of the electronic documents you submit are public, privileged and/or CEII. The filenames for such documents should begin with "Public", "Priv", or "CEII", as applicable, to clearly indicate the security designation of the file. Both versions of the Form 556 should be unaltered PDF copies of the Form 556, as available for download from www.ferc.gov/QF. To redact data from the public copy of the submittal, simply omit the relevant data from the Form. For numerical fields, leave the redacted fields blank. For text fields, complete as much of the field as possible, and replace the redacted portions of the field with the word "REDACTED" in brackets. Be sure to identify above all fields which contain data for which you are seeking non-public status.

The Commission is not responsible for detecting or correcting filer errors, including those errors related to security designation. If your documents contain sensitive information, make sure they are filed using the proper security designation.
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC

Form 556  Certification of Qualifying Facility (QF) Status for a Small Power Production or Cogeneration Facility

| 1a Full name of applicant (legal entity on whose behalf qualifying facility status is sought for this facility) |
| Greenwood Solar, LLC |

| 1b Applicant street address |
| 7650 Edinborough Way, Ste 725 |

| 1c City |
| Edina |

| 1d State/province |
| MN |

| 1e Postal code |
| 55435 |

| 1f Country (if not United States) |
| |

| 1g Telephone number |
| 952-988-9000 |

| 1h Has the instant facility ever previously been certified as a QF? |
| Yes ☐ No ☒ |

| 1i If yes, provide the docket number of the last known QF filing pertaining to this facility: |
| QF ____-____-____ |

| 1j Under which certification process is the applicant making this filing? |
| ☒ Notice of self-certification  |
| ☐ Application for Commission certification (requires filing fee; see "Filing Fee" section on page 3) |

Note: a notice of self-certification is a notice by the applicant itself that its facility complies with the requirements for QF status. A notice of self-certification does not establish a proceeding, and the Commission does not review a notice of self-certification to verify compliance. See the "What to Expect From the Commission After You File" section on page 3 for more information.

| 1k What type(s) of QF status is the applicant seeking for its facility? (check all that apply) |
| ☒ Qualifying small power production facility status  |
| ☐ Qualifying cogeneration facility status |

| 1l What is the purpose and expected effective date(s) of this filing? |
| ☒ Original certification; facility expected to be installed by 10/31/19 and to begin operation on 12/31/19 |

☐ Change(s) to a previously certified facility to be effective on ____________

(Identify type(s) of change(s) below, and describe change(s) in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19)

☐ Name change and/or other administrative change(s)

☐ Change in ownership

☐ Change(s) affecting plant equipment, fuel use, power production capacity and/or cogeneration thermal output

☐ Supplement or correction to a previous filing submitted on ____________

(describe the supplement or correction in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19)

| 1m If any of the following three statements is true, check the box(es) that describe your situation and complete the form to the extent possible, explaining any special circumstances in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19. |

☐ The instant facility complies with the Commission's QF requirements by virtue of a waiver of certain regulations previously granted by the Commission in an order dated ____________ (specify any other relevant waiver orders in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19)

☐ The instant facility would comply with the Commission's QF requirements if a petition for waiver submitted concurrently with this application is granted

☐ The instant facility complies with the Commission's regulations, but has special circumstances, such as the employment of unique or innovative technologies not contemplated by the structure of this form, that make the demonstration of compliance via this form difficult or impossible (describe in Misc. section starting on p. 19)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Contact Information</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a Name of contact person</td>
<td>Betsy Engeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b Telephone number</td>
<td>952-988-9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c Which of the following describes the contact person's relationship to the applicant? (check one)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Applicant (self)</td>
<td>☑ Employee, owner or partner of applicant authorized to represent the applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Employee of a company affiliated with the applicant authorized to represent the applicant on this matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Lawyer, consultant, or other representative authorized to represent the applicant on this matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d Company or organization name (if applicant is an individual, check here and skip to line 2e)</td>
<td>☑ Geronimo Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e Street address (if same as Applicant, check here and skip to line 3a)</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2f City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2g State/province</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2h Postal code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i Country (if not United States)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a Facility name</td>
<td>Greenwood Solar, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b Street address (if a street address does not exist for the facility, check here and skip to line 3c)</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c Geographic coordinates: If you indicated that no street address exists for your facility by checking the box in line 3b, then you must specify the latitude and longitude coordinates of the facility in degrees (to three decimal places). Use the following formula to convert to decimal degrees from degrees, minutes and seconds: decimal degrees = degrees + (minutes/60) + (seconds/3600). See the &quot;Geographic Coordinates&quot; section on page 4 for help. If you provided a street address for your facility in line 3b, then specifying the geographic coordinates below is optional.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>☑ West (-) 82.700 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>☑ North (+) 43.092 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3d City (if unincorporated, check here and enter nearest city)</td>
<td>☑ Avoca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3f County (or check here for independent city)</td>
<td>☑ Kenockee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3e State/province</td>
<td>MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3g Country (if not United States)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Transacting Utilities</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify the electric utilities that are contemplated to transact with the facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a Identify utility interconnecting with the facility</td>
<td>DTE Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b Identify utilities providing wheeling service or check here if none</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c Identify utilities purchasing the useful electric power output or check here if none</td>
<td>☑ DTE Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4d Identify utilities providing supplementary power, backup power, maintenance power, and/or interruptible power service or check here if none</td>
<td>☑ DTE Energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5a Direct ownership as of effective date or operation date: Identify all direct owners of the facility holding at least 10 percent equity interest. For each identified owner, also (1) indicate whether that owner is an electric utility, as defined in section 3(22) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 796(22)), or a holding company, as defined in section 1262(8) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16451(8)), and (2) for owners which are electric utilities or holding companies, provide the percentage of equity interest in the facility held by that owner. If no direct owners hold at least 10 percent equity interest in the facility, then provide the required information for the two direct owners with the largest equity interest in the facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full legal names of direct owners</th>
<th>Electric utility or holding company</th>
<th>If Yes, % equity interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Geronimo Energy, LLC</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☑</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3)</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4)</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5)</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6)</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7)</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8)</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9)</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10)</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td>___ %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Check here and continue in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19 if additional space is needed

5b Upstream (i.e., indirect) ownership as of effective date or operation date: Identify all upstream (i.e., indirect) owners of the facility that both (1) hold at least 10 percent equity interest in the facility, and (2) are electric utilities, as defined in section 3(22) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 796(22)), or holding companies, as defined in section 1262(8) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16451(8)). Also provide the percentage of equity interest in the facility held by such owners. (Note that, because upstream owners may be subsidiaries of one another, total percent equity interest reported may exceed 100 percent.)

☐ Check here if no such upstream owners exist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full legal names of electric utility or holding company upstream owners</th>
<th>% equity interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Geronimo Energy Holdings, LLC</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Check here and continue in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19 if additional space is needed

5c Identify the facility operator

Geronimo Energy
6a Describe the primary energy input: (check one main category and, if applicable, one subcategory)

- Biomass (specify)
- Renewable resources (specify) •
- Geothermal
- Landfill gas
- Manure digester gas
- Municipal solid waste
- Sewage digester gas
- Wood
- Other biomass (describe on page 19)
- Waste (specify type below in line 6b)
- Other renewable resource (describe on page 19)

6b If you specified "waste" as the primary energy input in line 6a, indicate the type of waste fuel used: (check one)

- Waste fuel listed in 18 C.F.R. § 292.202(b) (specify one of the following)
  - Anthracite culm produced prior to July 23, 1985
  - Anthracite refuse that has an average heat content of 6,000 Btu or less per pound and has an average ash content of 45 percent or more
  - Bituminous coal refuse that has an average heat content of 9,500 Btu per pound or less and has an average ash content of 25 percent or more
  - Top or bottom subbituminous coal produced on Federal lands or on Indian lands that has been determined to be waste by the United States Department of the Interior's Bureau of Land Management (BLM) or that is located on non-Federal or non-Indian lands outside of BLM's jurisdiction, provided that the applicant shows that the latter coal is an extension of that determined by BLM to be waste
  - Coal refuse produced on Federal lands or on Indian lands that has been determined to be waste by the BLM or that is located on non-Federal or non-Indian lands outside of BLM's jurisdiction, provided that the applicant shows that the latter is an extension of that determined by BLM to be waste
  - Lignite produced in association with the production of montan wax and lignite that becomes exposed as a result of such a mining operation
  - Gaseous fuels (except natural gas and synthetic gas from coal) (describe on page 19)
  - Waste natural gas from gas or oil wells (describe on page 19 how the gas meets the requirements of 18 C.F.R. § 2.400 for waste natural gas; include with your filing any materials necessary to demonstrate compliance with 18 C.F.R. § 2.400)
  - Materials that a government agency has certified for disposal by combustion (describe on page 19)
  - Heat from exothermic reactions (describe on page 19)
  - Used rubber tires
  - Plastic materials
  - Refinery off-gas
  - Petroleum coke
  - Other waste energy input that has little or no commercial value and exists in the absence of the qualifying facility industry (describe in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19; include a discussion of the fuel's lack of commercial value and existence in the absence of the qualifying facility industry)

6c Provide the average energy input, calculated on a calendar year basis, in terms of Btu/h for the following fossil fuel energy inputs, and provide the related percentage of the total average annual energy input to the facility (18 C.F.R. § 292.202(i)). For any oil or natural gas fuel, use lower heating value (18 C.F.R. § 292.202(m)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Annual average energy input for specified fuel</th>
<th>Percentage of total annual energy input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>0 Btu/h</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil-based fuels</td>
<td>0 Btu/h</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>0 Btu/h</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>The maximum gross power production capacity at the terminals of the individual generator(s) under the most favorable anticipated design conditions</td>
<td>0 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>Parasitic station power used at the facility to run equipment which is necessary and integral to the power production process (boiler feed pumps, fans/blowers, office or maintenance buildings directly related to the operation of the power generating facility, etc.). If this facility includes non-power production processes (for instance, power consumed by a cogeneration facility's thermal host), do not include any power consumed by the non-power production activities in your reported parasitic station power.</td>
<td>0 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7c</td>
<td>Electrical losses in interconnection transformers</td>
<td>0 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7d</td>
<td>Electrical losses in AC/DC conversion equipment, if any</td>
<td>0 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7e</td>
<td>Other interconnection losses in power lines or facilities (other than transformers and AC/DC conversion equipment) between the terminals of the generator(s) and the point of interconnection with the utility</td>
<td>0 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7f</td>
<td>Total deductions from gross power production capacity = 7b + 7c + 7d + 7e</td>
<td>0.0 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7g</td>
<td>Maximum net power production capacity = 7a - 7f</td>
<td>0.0 kW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7h Description of facility and primary components: Describe the facility and its operation. Identify all boilers, heat recovery steam generators, prime movers (any mechanical equipment driving an electric generator), electrical generators, photovoltaic solar equipment, fuel cell equipment and/or other primary power generation equipment used in the facility. Descriptions of components should include (as applicable) specifications of the nominal capacities for mechanical output, electrical output, or steam generation of the identified equipment. For each piece of equipment identified, clearly indicate how many pieces of that type of equipment are included in the plant, and which components are normally operating or normally in standby mode. Provide a description of how the components operate as a system. Applicants for cogeneration facilities do not need to describe operations of systems that are clearly depicted on and easily understandable from a cogeneration facility's attached mass and heat balance diagram; however, such applicants should provide any necessary description needed to understand the sequential operation of the facility depicted in their mass and heat balance diagram. If additional space is needed, continue in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19.

Photovoltaic Solar Facility
Information Required for Small Power Production Facility

If you indicated in line 1k that you are seeking qualifying small power production facility status for your facility, then you must respond to the items on this page. Otherwise, skip page 10.

Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 292.204(a), the power production capacity of any small power production facility, together with the power production capacity of any other small power production facilities that use the same energy resource, are owned by the same person(s) or its affiliates, and are located at the same site, may not exceed 80 megawatts. To demonstrate compliance with this size limitation, or to demonstrate that your facility is exempt from this size limitation under the Solar, Wind, Waste, and Geothermal Power Production Incentives Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-575, 104 Stat. 2834 (1990) as amended by Pub. L. 102-46, 105 Stat. 249 (1991)), respond to lines 8a through 8e below (as applicable).

8a Identify any facilities with electrical generating equipment located within 1 mile of the electrical generating equipment of the instant facility, and for which any of the entities identified in lines 5a or 5b, or their affiliates, holds at least a 5 percent equity interest.

Check here if no such facilities exist. [X]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility location (city or county, state)</th>
<th>Root docket # (if any)</th>
<th>Common owner(s)</th>
<th>Maximum net power production capacity (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>QF -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>QF -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3)</td>
<td>QF -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Check here and continue in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19 if additional space is needed.

8b The Solar, Wind, Waste, and Geothermal Power Production Incentives Act of 1990 (Incentives Act) provides exemption from the size limitations in 18 C.F.R. § 292.204(a) for certain facilities that were certified prior to 1995. Are you seeking exemption from the size limitations in 18 C.F.R. § 292.204(a) by virtue of the Incentives Act?

☐ Yes (continue at line 8c below) [X] No (skip lines 8c through 8e)

8c Was the original notice of self-certification or application for Commission certification of the facility filed on or before December 31, 1994? Yes ☐ No ☐

8d Did construction of the facility commence on or before December 31, 1999? Yes ☐ No ☐

8e If you answered No in line 8d, indicate whether reasonable diligence was exercised toward the completion of the facility, taking into account all factors relevant to construction? Yes ☐ No ☐ If you answered Yes, provide a brief narrative explanation in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19 of the construction timeline (in particular, describe why construction started so long after the facility was certified) and the diligence exercised toward completion of the facility.

Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 292.204(b), qualifying small power production facilities may use fossil fuels, in minimal amounts, for only the following purposes: ignition; start-up; testing; flame stabilization; control use; alleviation or prevention of unanticipated equipment outages; and alleviation or prevention of emergencies, directly affecting the public health, safety, or welfare, which would result from electric power outages. The amount of fossil fuels used for these purposes may not exceed 25 percent of the total energy input of the facility during the 12-month period beginning with the date the facility first produces electric energy or any calendar year thereafter.

9a Certification of compliance with 18 C.F.R. § 292.204(b) with respect to uses of fossil fuel:

[X] Applicant certifies that the facility will use fossil fuels exclusively for the purposes listed above.

9b Certification of compliance with 18 C.F.R. § 292.204(b) with respect to amount of fossil fuel used annually:

Applicant certifies that the amount of fossil fuel used at the facility will not, in aggregate, exceed 25 [X] percent of the total energy input of the facility during the 12-month period beginning with the date the facility first produces electric energy or any calendar year thereafter.
**Information Required for Cogeneration Facility**

If you indicated in line 1k that you are seeking qualifying cogeneration facility status for your facility, then you must respond to the items on pages 11 through 13. Otherwise, skip pages 11 through 13.

Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 292.202(c), a cogeneration facility produces electric energy and forms of useful thermal energy (such as heat or steam) used for industrial, commercial, heating, or cooling purposes, through the sequential use of energy. Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 292.202(s), "sequential use" of energy means the following: (1) for a topping-cycle cogeneration facility, the use of reject heat from a power production process in sufficient amounts in a thermal application or process to conform to the requirements of the operating standard contained in 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(a); or (2) for a bottoming-cycle cogeneration facility, the use of at least some reject heat from a thermal application or process for power production.

10a What type(s) of cogeneration technology does the facility represent? (check all that apply)

- [ ] Topping-cycle cogeneration
- [ ] Bottoming-cycle cogeneration

10b To help demonstrate the sequential operation of the cogeneration process, and to support compliance with other requirements such as the operating and efficiency standards, include with your filing a mass and heat balance diagram depicting average annual operating conditions. This diagram must include certain items and meet certain requirements, as described below. You must check next to the description of each requirement below to certify that you have complied with these requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check to certify compliance with indicated requirement</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagram must show orientation within system piping and/or ducts of all prime movers, heat recovery steam generators, boilers, electric generators, and condensers (as applicable), as well as any other primary equipment relevant to the cogeneration process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any average annual values required to be reported in lines 10b, 12a, 13a, 13b, 13d, 13f, 14a, 15b, 15d and/or 15f must be computed over the anticipated hours of operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagram must specify all fuel inputs by fuel type and average annual rate in Btu/h. Fuel for supplementary firing should be specified separately and clearly labeled. All specifications of fuel inputs should use lower heating values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagram must specify average gross electric output in kW or MW for each generator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagram must specify average mechanical output (that is, any mechanical energy taken off of the shaft of the prime movers for purposes not directly related to electric power generation) in horsepower, if any. Typically, a cogeneration facility has no mechanical output.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At each point for which working fluid flow conditions are required to be specified (see below), such flow condition data must include mass flow rate (in lb/h or kg/s), temperature (in °F, R, °C or K), absolute pressure (in psia or kPa) and enthalpy (in Btu/lb or kJ/kg). Exception: For systems where the working fluid is liquid only (no vapor at any point in the cycle) and where the type of liquid and specific heat of that liquid are clearly indicated on the diagram or in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19, only mass flow rate and temperature (not pressure and enthalpy) need be specified. For reference, specific heat at standard conditions for pure liquid water is approximately 1.002 Btu/(lb*°R) or 4.195 kJ/(kg*°K).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagram must specify working fluid flow conditions at input to and output from each steam turbine or other expansion turbine or back-pressure turbine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagram must specify working fluid flow conditions at delivery to and return from each thermal application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagram must specify working fluid flow conditions at make-up water inputs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 11a Was your facility operating as a qualifying cogeneration facility on or before August 8, 2005?

- Yes [ ] No [ ]

### 11b Was the initial filing seeking certification of your facility (whether a notice of self-certification or an application for Commission certification) filed on or before February 1, 2006?

- Yes [ ] No [ ]

If the answer to either line 11a or 11b is Yes, then continue at line 11c below. Otherwise, if the answers to both lines 11a and 11b are No, skip to line 11d below.

### 11c With respect to the design and operation of the facility, have any changes been implemented on or after February 2, 2006 that affect general plant operation, affect use of thermal output, and/or increase net power production capacity from the plant's capacity on February 1, 2006?

- Yes (continue at line 11d below)
- No. Your facility is not subject to the requirements of 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d) at this time. However, it may be subject to these requirements in the future if changes are made to the facility. At such time, the applicant would need to recertify the facility to determine eligibility. Skip lines 11d through 11j.

### 11d Does the applicant contend that the changes identified in line 11c are not so significant as to make the facility a "new" cogeneration facility that would be subject to the 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d) cogeneration requirements?

- Yes. Provide in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19 a description of any relevant changes made to the facility (including the purpose of the changes) and a discussion of why the facility should not be considered a "new" cogeneration facility in light of these changes. Skip lines 11e through 11j.
- No. Applicant stipulates to the fact that it is a "new" cogeneration facility (for purposes of determining the applicability of the requirements of 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)) by virtue of modifications to the facility that were initiated on or after February 2, 2006. Continue below at line 11e.

### 11e Will electric energy from the facility be sold pursuant to section 210 of PURPA?

- Yes. The facility is an EPAct 2005 cogeneration facility. You must demonstrate compliance with 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2) by continuing at line 11f below.
- No. Applicant certifies that energy will not be sold pursuant to section 210 of PURPA. Applicant also certifies its understanding that it must recertify its facility in order to determine compliance with the requirements of 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d) before selling energy pursuant to section 210 of PURPA in the future. Skip lines 11f through 11j.

### 11f Is the net power production capacity of your cogeneration facility, as indicated in line 7g above, less than or equal to 5,000 kW?

- Yes, the net power production capacity is less than or equal to 5,000 kW. 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(4) provides a rebuttable presumption that cogeneration facilities of 5,000 kW and smaller capacity comply with the requirements for fundamental use of the facility's energy output in 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2). Applicant certifies its understanding that, should the power production capacity of the facility increase above 5,000 kW, then the facility must be recertified to (among other things) demonstrate compliance with 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2). Skip lines 11g through 11j.
- No, the net power production capacity is greater than 5,000 kW. Demonstrate compliance with the requirements for fundamental use of the facility's energy output in 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2) by continuing on the next page at line 11g.
Lines 11g through 11k below guide the applicant through the process of demonstrating compliance with the requirements for "fundamental use" of the facility's energy output. 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2). Only respond to the lines on this page if the instructions on the previous page direct you to do so. Otherwise, skip this page.

18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2) requires that the electrical, thermal, chemical and mechanical output of an EPAct 2005 cogeneration facility is used fundamentally for industrial, commercial, residential or institutional purposes and is not intended fundamentally for sale to an electric utility, taking into account technological, efficiency, economic, and variable thermal energy requirements, as well as state laws applicable to sales of electric energy from a qualifying facility to its host facility. If you were directed on the previous page to respond to the items on this page, then your facility is an EPAct 2005 cogeneration facility that is subject to this "fundamental use" requirement.

The Commission's regulations provide a two-pronged approach to demonstrating compliance with the requirements for fundamental use of the facility's energy output. First, the Commission has established in 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(3) a "fundamental use test" that can be used to demonstrate compliance with 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2). Under the fundamental use test, a facility is considered to comply with 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2) if at least 50 percent of the facility's total annual energy output (including electrical, thermal, chemical and mechanical energy output) is used for industrial, commercial, residential or institutional purposes.

Second, an applicant for a facility that does not pass the fundamental use test may provide a narrative explanation of and support for its contention that the facility nonetheless meets the requirement that the electrical, thermal, chemical and mechanical output of an EPAct 2005 cogeneration facility is used fundamentally for industrial, commercial, residential or institutional purposes and is not intended fundamentally for sale to an electric utility, taking into account technological, efficiency, economic, and variable thermal energy requirements, as well as state laws applicable to sales of electric energy from a qualifying facility to its host facility.

Complete lines 11g through 11j below to determine compliance with the fundamental use test in 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(3). Complete lines 11g through 11j even if you do not intend to rely upon the fundamental use test to demonstrate compliance with 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2).

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11g</td>
<td>Amount of electrical, thermal, chemical and mechanical energy output (net of internal generation plant losses and parasitic loads) expected to be used annually for industrial, commercial, residential or institutional purposes and not sold to an electric utility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11h</td>
<td>Total amount of electrical, thermal, chemical and mechanical energy expected to be sold to an electric utility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11i</td>
<td>Percentage of total annual energy output expected to be used for industrial, commercial, residential or institutional purposes and not sold to a utility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11j) Is the response in line 11i greater than or equal to 50 percent?

- Yes. Your facility complies with 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2) by virtue of passing the fundamental use test provided in 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(3). Applicant certifies its understanding that, if it is to rely upon passing the fundamental use test as a basis for complying with 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2), then the facility must comply with the fundamental use test both in the 12-month period beginning with the date the facility first produces electric energy, and in all subsequent calendar years.

- No. Your facility does not pass the fundamental use test. Instead, you must provide in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19 a narrative explanation of and support for why your facility meets the requirement that the electrical, thermal, chemical and mechanical output of an EPAct 2005 cogeneration facility is used fundamentally for industrial, commercial, residential or institutional purposes and is not intended fundamentally for sale to an electric utility, taking into account technological, efficiency, economic, and variable thermal energy requirements, as well as state laws applicable to sales of electric energy from a QF to its host facility. Applicants providing a narrative explanation of why their facility should be found to comply with 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(d)(2) in spite of non-compliance with the fundamental use test may want to review paragraphs 47 through 61 of Order No. 671 (accessible from the Commission's QF website at www.ferc.gov/QF), which provide discussion of the facts and circumstances that may support their explanation. Applicant should also note that the percentage reported above will establish the standard that that facility must comply with, both for the 12-month period beginning with the date the facility first produces electric energy, and in all subsequent calendar years. See Order No. 671 at paragraph 51. As such, the applicant should make sure that it reports appropriate values on lines 11g and 11h above to serve as the relevant annual standard, taking into account expected variations in production conditions.
### Information Required for Topping-Cycle Cogeneration Facility

If you indicated in line 10a that your facility represents topping-cycle cogeneration technology, then you must respond to the items on pages 14 and 15. Otherwise, skip pages 14 and 15.

The thermal energy output of a topping-cycle cogeneration facility is the net energy made available to an industrial or commercial process or used in a heating or cooling application. Pursuant to sections 292.202(c), (d) and (h) of the Commission's regulations (18 C.F.R. §§ 292.202(c), (d) and (h)), the thermal energy output of a qualifying topping-cycle cogeneration facility must be useful. In connection with this requirement, describe the thermal output of the topping-cycle cogeneration facility by responding to lines 12a and 12b below.

#### 12a Identify and describe each thermal host, and specify the annual average rate of thermal output made available to each host for each use. For hosts with multiple uses of thermal output, provide the data for each use in separate rows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of entity (thermal host) taking thermal output</th>
<th>Thermal host's relationship to facility; Thermal host's use of thermal output</th>
<th>Average annual rate of thermal output attributable to use (net of heat contained in process return or make-up water)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>Select thermal host's relationship to facility</td>
<td>Btu/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select thermal host's use of thermal output</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>Select thermal host's relationship to facility</td>
<td>Btu/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select thermal host's use of thermal output</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3)</td>
<td>Select thermal host's relationship to facility</td>
<td>Btu/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select thermal host's use of thermal output</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4)</td>
<td>Select thermal host's relationship to facility</td>
<td>Btu/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select thermal host's use of thermal output</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5)</td>
<td>Select thermal host's relationship to facility</td>
<td>Btu/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select thermal host's use of thermal output</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6)</td>
<td>Select thermal host's relationship to facility</td>
<td>Btu/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select thermal host's use of thermal output</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Check here and continue in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19 if additional space is needed

#### 12b Demonstration of usefulness of thermal output: At a minimum, provide a brief description of each use of the thermal output identified above. In some cases, this brief description is sufficient to demonstrate usefulness. However, if your facility's use of thermal output is not common, and/or if the usefulness of such thermal output is not reasonably clear, then you must provide additional details as necessary to demonstrate usefulness. Your application may be rejected and/or additional information may be required if an insufficient showing of usefulness is made. (Exception: If you have previously received a Commission certification approving a specific use of thermal output related to the instant facility, then you need only provide a brief description of that use and a reference by date and docket number to the order certifying your facility with the indicated use. Such exemption may not be used if any change creates a material deviation from the previously authorized use.) If additional space is needed, continue in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19.
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topping-Cycle Operating and Efficiency Value Calculation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applicants for facilities representing topping-cycle technology must demonstrate compliance with the topping-cycle operating standard and, if applicable, efficiency standard. Section 292.205(a)(1) of the Commission’s regulations (18 C.F.R. § 292.205(a)(1)) establishes the operating standard for topping-cycle cogeneration facilities: the useful thermal energy output must be no less than 5 percent of the total energy output. Section 292.205(a)(2) (18 C.F.R. § 292.205(a)(2)) establishes the efficiency standard for topping-cycle cogeneration facilities for which installation commenced on or after March 13, 1980: the useful power output of the facility plus one-half the useful thermal energy output must (A) be no less than 42.5 percent of the total energy input of natural gas and oil to the facility; and (B) if the useful thermal energy output is less than 15 percent of the total energy output of the facility, be no less than 45 percent of the total energy input of natural gas and oil to the facility. To demonstrate compliance with the topping-cycle operating and/or efficiency standards, or to demonstrate that your facility is exempt from the efficiency standard based on the date that installation commenced, respond to lines 13a through 13i below.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If you indicated in line 10a that your facility represents both topping-cycle and bottoming-cycle cogeneration technology, then respond to lines 13a through 13i below considering only the energy inputs and outputs attributable to the topping-cycle portion of your facility. Your mass and heat balance diagram must make clear which mass and energy flow values and system components are for which portion (topping or bottoming) of the cogeneration system.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13a</strong></td>
<td><strong>Indicate the annual average rate of useful thermal energy output made available to the host(s), net of any heat contained in condensate return or make-up water</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13b</strong></td>
<td><strong>Indicate the annual average rate of net electrical energy output</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13c</strong></td>
<td><strong>Multiply line 13b by 3,412 to convert from kW to Btu/h</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13d</strong></td>
<td><strong>Indicate the annual average rate of mechanical energy output taken directly off of the shaft of a prime mover for purposes not directly related to power production (this value is usually zero)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13e</strong></td>
<td><strong>Multiply line 13d by 2,544 to convert from hp to Btu/h</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13f</strong></td>
<td><strong>Indicate the annual average rate of energy input from natural gas and oil</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13g</strong></td>
<td><strong>Topping-cycle operating value = 100 * 13a / (13a + 13c + 13e)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13h</strong></td>
<td><strong>Topping-cycle efficiency value = 100 * (0.5*13a + 13c + 13e) / 13f</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13i</strong></td>
<td><strong>Compliance with operating standard: Is the operating value shown in line 13g greater than or equal to 5%?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>☐ Yes (complies with operating standard) ☐ No (does not comply with operating standard)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13j</strong></td>
<td><strong>Did installation of the facility in its current form commence on or after March 13, 1980?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>☐ Yes. Your facility is subject to the efficiency requirements of 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(a)(2). Demonstrate compliance with the efficiency requirement by responding to line 13k or 13l, as applicable, below.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>☐ No. Your facility is exempt from the efficiency standard. Skip lines 13k and 13l.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13k</strong></td>
<td><strong>Compliance with efficiency standard (for low operating value): If the operating value shown in line 13g is less than 15%, then indicate below whether the efficiency value shown in line 13h greater than or equal to 45%:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>☐ Yes (complies with efficiency standard) ☐ No (does not comply with efficiency standard)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13l</strong></td>
<td><strong>Compliance with efficiency standard (for high operating value): If the operating value shown in line 13g is greater than or equal to 15%, then indicate below whether the efficiency value shown in line 13h is greater than or equal to 42.5%:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>☐ Yes (complies with efficiency standard) ☐ No (does not comply with efficiency standard)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Information Required for Bottoming-Cycle Cogeneration Facility

If you indicated in line 10a that your facility represents bottoming-cycle cogeneration technology, then you must respond to the items on pages 16 and 17. Otherwise, skip pages 16 and 17.

The thermal energy output of a bottoming-cycle cogeneration facility is the energy related to the process(es) from which at least some of the reject heat is then used for power production. Pursuant to sections 292.202(c) and (e) of the Commission's regulations (18 C.F.R. § 292.202(c) and (e)), the thermal energy output of a qualifying bottoming-cycle cogeneration facility must be useful. In connection with this requirement, describe the process(es) from which at least some of the reject heat is used for power production by responding to lines 14a and 14b below.

| 14a Identify and describe each thermal host and each bottoming-cycle cogeneration process engaged in by each host. For hosts with multiple bottoming-cycle cogeneration processes, provide the data for each process in separate rows. |
|---|---|---|
| Name of entity (thermal host) performing the process from which at least some of the reject heat is used for power production | Thermal host's relationship to facility; Thermal host's process type | Has the energy input to the thermal host been augmented for purposes of increasing power production capacity? (if Yes, describe on p. 19) |
| 1 | Select thermal host's relationship to facility | Yes ☐ No ☐ |
| | Select thermal host's process type | |
| 2 | Select thermal host's relationship to facility | Yes ☐ No ☐ |
| | Select thermal host's process type | |
| 3 | Select thermal host's relationship to facility | Yes ☐ No ☐ |
| | Select thermal host's process type | |

☐ Check here and continue in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19 if additional space is needed

14b Demonstration of usefulness of thermal output: At a minimum, provide a brief description of each process identified above. In some cases, this brief description is sufficient to demonstrate usefulness. However, if your facility's process is not common, and/or if the usefulness of such thermal output is not reasonably clear, then you must provide additional details as necessary to demonstrate usefulness. Your application may be rejected and/or additional information may be required if an insufficient showing of usefulness is made. (Exception: if you have previously received a Commission certification approving a specific bottoming-cycle process related to the instant facility, then you need only provide a brief description of that process and a reference by date and docket number to the order certifying your facility with the indicated process. Such exemption may not be used if any material changes to the process have been made.) If additional space is needed, continue in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19.
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### Bottoming-Cycle Operating and Efficiency Value Calculation

Applicants for facilities representing bottoming-cycle technology and for which installation commenced on or after March 13, 1990 must demonstrate compliance with the bottoming-cycle efficiency standards. Section 292.205(b) of the Commission's regulations (18 C.F.R. § 292.205(b)) establishes the efficiency standard for bottoming-cycle cogeneration facilities: the useful power output of the facility must be no less than 45 percent of the energy input of natural gas and oil for supplementary firing. To demonstrate compliance with the bottoming-cycle efficiency standard (if applicable), or to demonstrate that your facility is exempt from this standard based on the date that installation of the facility began, respond to lines 15a through 15h below.

If you indicated in line 10a that your facility represents both topping-cycle and bottoming-cycle cogeneration technology, then respond to lines 15a through 15h below considering only the energy inputs and outputs attributable to the bottoming-cycle portion of your facility. Your mass and heat balance diagram must make clear which mass and energy flow values and system components are for which portion of the cogeneration system (topping or bottoming).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15a Did installation of the facility in its current form commence on or after March 13, 1980?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Yes. Your facility is subject to the efficiency requirement of 18 C.F.R. § 292.205(b). Demonstrate compliance with the efficiency requirement by responding to lines 15b through 15h below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No. Your facility is exempt from the efficiency standard. Skip the rest of page 17.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 15b Indicate the annual average rate of net electrical energy output (kW) |
| 15c Multiply line 15b by 3,412 to convert from kW to Btu/h (0 Btu/h) |
| 15d Indicate the annual average rate of mechanical energy output taken directly off the shaft of a prime mover for purposes not directly related to power production (this value is usually zero) (hp) |
| 15e Multiply line 15d by 2,544 to convert from hp to Btu/h (0 Btu/h) |
| 15f Indicate the annual average rate of supplementary energy input from natural gas or oil (Btu/h) |
| 15g Bottoming-cycle efficiency value = \(100 \times (15c + 15e)/15f\) (0 %) |

15h Compliance with efficiency standard: Indicate below whether the efficiency value shown in line 15g is greater than or equal to 45%:

- □ Yes (complies with efficiency standard)
- □ No (does not comply with efficiency standard)
Certificate of Completeness, Accuracy and Authority

Applicant must certify compliance with and understanding of filing requirements by checking next to each item below and signing at the bottom of this section. Forms with incomplete Certificates of Completeness, Accuracy and Authority will be rejected by the Secretary of the Commission.

Signer identified below certifies the following: (check all items and applicable subitems)

- [x] He or she has read the filing, including any information contained in any attached documents, such as cogeneration mass and heat balance diagrams, and any information contained in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19, and knows its contents.

- [x] He or she has provided all of the required information for certification, and the provided information is true as stated, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief.

- [x] He or she possess full power and authority to sign the filing; as required by Rule 2005(a)(3) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2005(a)(3)), he or she is one of the following: (check one)
  - An officer of the corporation, trust, association, or other organized group on behalf of which the filing is made
  - An officer, agent, or employee of the governmental authority, agency, or instrumentality on behalf of which the filing is made
  - A representative qualified to practice before the Commission under Rule 2101 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2101) and who possesses authority to sign

- [x] He or she has reviewed all automatic calculations and agrees with their results, unless otherwise noted in the Miscellaneous section starting on page 19.

- [x] He or she has provided a copy of this Form 556 and all attachments to the utilities with which the facility will interconnect and transact (see lines 4a through 4d), as well as to the regulatory authorities of the states in which the facility and those utilities reside. See the Required Notice to Public Utilities and State Regulatory Authorities section on page 3 for more information.

Provide your signature, address and signature date below. Rule 2005(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2005(c)) provides that persons filing their documents electronically may use typed characters representing his or her name to sign the filed documents. A person filing this document electronically should sign (by typing his or her name) in the space provided below.

Your Signature: Betsy Engelking
Your address: 7650 Edinborough Way, Ste 725
Date: 12/1/2017
Edina, MN 55435

Audit Notes

Commission Staff Use Only: [x]
Miscellaneous

Use this space to provide any information for which there was not sufficient space in the previous sections of the form to provide. For each such item of information clearly identify the line number that the information belongs to. You may also use this space to provide any additional information you believe is relevant to the certification of your facility.

Your response below is not limited to one page. Additional page(s) will automatically be inserted into this form if the length of your response exceeds the space on this page. Use as many pages as you require.
Question: For the purposes of this request, please refer to the Direct Testimony of Terri L. Schroeder, page 14 lines 6 through 12, where the witness states:

The defined PCA contains defined levels of renewables for the first five years to meet PA 342’s RPS compliance along with the company’s Clean Energy and Carbon Reduction commitments. In addition, the Company plans to install 465 MW up to 715 MW in the first five years to support the VGP programs. The first 465 MW will be sourced by wind; the potential incremental 250 MW is assumed to be solar and thereafter the technology determination is to be decided.

b. For each year and generation technology, please provide the amount of renewable generation capacity the Company proposes to install as projects owned by the Company.

Answer: The Company modeled owning all of the renewable generation capacity.

Attachments: N/A
Question: For the purposes of this request, please refer to the Direct Testimony of Terri L. Schoeder, page 14 lines 6 through 12, where the witness states:

The defined PCA contains defined levels of renewables for the first five years to meet PA 342’s RPS compliance along with the company’s Clean Energy and Carbon Reduction commitments. In addition, the Company plans to install 465 MW up to 715 MW in the first five years to support the VGP programs. The first 465 MW will be sourced by wind; the potential incremental 250 MW is assumed to be solar and thereafter the technology determination is to be decided.

c. For each year and generation technology, please provide the amount of renewable generation capacity the Company proposes to procure from third-party providers through contractual means, including through long-term Power Purchase Agreements.

Answer: Please see response to AGDE-2.54b.

Attachments: N/A
Question: Refer to your response to AGDE-2.54b. Did the Company consider the option to include renewable generation capacity procured from third-party providers, rather than owned renewable generation capacity? If so, provide a detailed description of why the Company chose not to include that option in the modeling. If not, explain why not.

Answer: The IRP optimization selects generic resources to economically fill capacity needs. Those generic resources do not assume specific project characteristics including ownership structure. The revenue requirements modeling does assume DTE Electric ownership because the Company assumes that DTE Electric ownership costs are better than or at least competitive with third party ownership. There are significant benefits to customers from owned assets, including decreased performance risk (DTE Electric is a top quartile operator), long-term benefits to customers after the asset’s depreciated life, decreased contract risk including risk of termination and change of ownership, and reduced balance sheet impacts from long term liabilities.

Attachments: N/A
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