

201 North Washington Square • Suite 910 Lansing, Michigan 48933

Telephone 517 / 482-6237 • Fax 517 / 482-6937 • www.varnumlaw.com

Timothy J. Lundgren

tjlundgren@varnumlaw.com

June 7, 2019

Ms. Kavita Kale Executive Secretary Michigan Public Service Commission 7109 W. Saginaw Highway P.O. Box 30221 Lansing, MI 48909

Re: MPSC Case No. U-20322

Dear Ms. Kale:

Attached for electronic filing in the above-referenced matter, please find the Initial Brief of Energy Michigan, Inc., as well as Proof of Service. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours,

VARNUM

Timothy J. Lundgren

TJL/kks Enc.

c. ALJ

Parties of Record

14978873_1.docx

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of)	
CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY)	
for authority to increase its rates for the)	Case No. U-20322
distribution of natural gas and for other relie	f.)	
)	

INITIAL BRIEF

OF

ENERGY MICHIGAN, INC.

Tim Lundgren Laura Chappelle Varnum, LLP Attorneys for Energy Michigan, Inc. 201 N. Washington Square, Suite 910 Lansing, MI 48933

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of)	
CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY)	
for authority to increase its rates for the)	Case No. U-20322
distribution of natural gas and for other relief.)	
	_)	

INITIAL BRIEF OF ENERGY MICHIGAN, INC.

I. INTRODUCTION

This Initial Brief is filed on behalf of Energy Michigan, Inc. ("Energy Michigan") by its attorneys, Varnum LLP. Failure to address any issues or positions raised by other parties should not be taken as agreement with those issues or positions.

II. ARGUMENT

Energy Michigan sponsored the testimony of Mr. Paul Wilken, who examined the basis for the eligibility requirements for Consumers Energy Company's ("Consumers") gas transportation rate XXLT. 4 Tr 92 *et seq.* Specifically, Mr. Wilken challenged the basis for the 4,000,000 annual Mcf eligibility requirement for a gas transportation customer to be able to access the XXLT rate. Mr. Wilken provided an analysis of the economic breakeven points for the proposed Rate XXLT with the 4% Authorized Tolerance Level ("ATL") both with and without the proposed Investment Recovery Mechanism ("IRM"). In either case it is below 2,000,00 Mcf (1,050,918 Mcf and 1,087,962 Mcf, respectively). See 4 Tr 93-94. As Mr. Wilken discusses, failure to set the rate at the optimum economic breakeven point causes customers to pay more for

the service than it costs. 4 Tr 94-95. This is therefore an opportunity to improve the cost of service rate structure for the benefit of large transportation customers.

In response to Mr. Wilken's testimony, the expert for Michigan State University and Lansing Board of Water and Light, Mr. Lyons, argued that the eligibility requirements should not be changed because the cost of service to Rate XLT customers "is materially different than the cost of service to Rate XXLT customers" and the current rate structure was, in fact, based on actual cost of service despite the disparity in the economic breakeven point. Mr. Lyons pointed to three principle reasons why the cost of service for Rate XXLT is lower than that for XLT, each of which he had discussed in his Direct Testimony: 1) because XXLT's annual demand requirements are, in his calculation, more than 26 times that of Rate XLT; 2) because Rate XXLT's load factor is 40.0 percent higher than Rate XLT; and 3) because Rate XXLT customers are not served from nor otherwise utilize Consumers' Non-High-Pressure distribution system, which, he noted, represents 89.1 percent of total distribution mains. 7 Tr 1936.

In response to the testimony of both Mr. Wilken and Mr. Lyons, Staff witness Spencer Ruggles testified on rebuttal that he also disagreed with Mr. Wilken's recommendation, but that he also disagreed with some of Mr. Lyons' analysis. Mr. Ruggles disagreed with Mr. Wilkens because the Rate XXLT "was designed specifically for" two customers, and "[m]oreover, the two customers targeted for the pilot Rate XXLT are located at points on Consumers energy Company's ... natural gas system where they are only served by transmission and high-pressure distribution mains." 7 Tr 2226 (quotations omitted). Mr. Ruggles disagreed with Mr. Lyons analysis and argument that the Rate XXLT's annual demand requirements are more than 26 times that of Rate XLT. See discussion at 7 Tr 2227. Staff reviewed Mr. Lyons' analysis and called it "misleading." *Id.* Following a discussion of Staff's reasons for disagreeing with Mr. Lyons'

analysis, Mr. Ruggles concludes that "Staff continues to assert that the variation in the per-unit cost of service is a flawed justification for creating Rate XXLT." 7 Tr 2229.

Nevertheless, there is an issue on which both Mr. Ruggles and Mr. Lyons are in agreement, namely that the essential reason for the existence of rate XXLT is to capture the distinction between customers who make use of Consumers' Non-High-Pressure distribution system and those who do not. Staff put it as follows in their Rebuttal Testimony:

The Rate XXLT could instead be termed the "High-Pressure Distribution System Only" Rate. Therefore, to ensure that customers on the low-pressure distribution system do not take service on Rate XXLT, which does not and is not intended to include costs for the low-pressure distribution system, Staff opposes Energy Michigan's proposal to lower the eligibility requirement to mirror the calculate economic breakeven point.

7 Tr 2227. On this point Energy Michigan is in agreement with Staff. If the true basis for the Rate XXLT is in fact, as Staff and Mr. Lyons assert, that the customers who are on that rate do not make use of the utility's non-high-pressure distribution system, then that should be the eligibility requirement, rather than the 4,000,000 Mcf cut-off that was hand-selected to favor two customers. Energy Michigan respectfully submits that constructing the rate around how a customer class uses the system rather than tailoring it to include specific customers is sounder ratemaking policy.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Energy Michigan hereby respectfully requests that the Commission do the following:

a) Remove the eligibility requirement for the Rate XXLT based on 4,000,000 Mcf and replace it with a requirement that the customer be a user only of Consumers high-pressure distribution system.

Respectfully submitted,

Varnum LLP Attorneys for Energy Michigan, Inc.

T	une	7	20	۱1	a
J	unc	Ι,	20	, 1	フ

By:_____

Timothy J. Lundgren Laura A. Chappelle The Victor Center 201 N. Washington Square, Ste. 910 Lansing, MI 48933 517/482-6237

15010498_1.docx

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION * * * * *

In the matter of the application of)
CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY)
for authority to increase its rates for the)
Case No. U-20322

for authority to increase its rates for the distribution of natural gas and for other relief.)

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF MICHIGAN) ss. COUNTY OF Wayne)

Karri Standish, the undersigned, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that she is a Legal Secretary at Varnum LLP and that on the 7th day of June, 2019, she served an electronic copy of the Initial Brief of Energy Michigan, Inc., as well as Proof of Service upon those individuals listed on the attached Service List via email at their last known addresses.

Karri K. Standish

SERVICE LIST MPSC CASE NO. U-20322

Administrative Law Judge

Honorable Jonathan Thoits Administrative Law Judge Michigan Public Service Comm. 7109 W. Saginaw Hwy., 3rd Floor Lansing, MI 48917 thoitsj@michigan.gov

Counsel for the MPSC Staff

Amit Singh
Daniel Sonneveldt
Emily Jefferson
Benjamin J. Holwerda
7109 W. Saginaw Hwy., 3rd Floor
Lansing, MI 48917
singha9@michigan.gov
sonneveldtd@michigan.gov
jeffersone1@michigan.gov
holwerdab@michigan.gov

Counsel for Consumers Energy Company

Michael Rampe
Bret A. Totoraitis
Robert W. Beach
Gary A. Gensch, Jr.
Anne M. Uitvlugt
Theresa G. Staley
One Energy Plaza
Jackson, MI 49201
Michael.Rampe@cmsenergy.com
Bret.totoraitis@cmsenergy.com
Robert.beach@cmsenergy.com
Gary.genschjr@cmsenergy.com
Anne.uitvlugt@cmsenergy.com
Theresa.Staley@cmsenergy.com
Mpsc.filings@cmsenergy.com

Counsel for Retail Energy Supply Association

Jennifer U. Heston Fraser Trebilcock Davis & Dunlap, P.C. 124 W. Allegan, Ste. 1000 Lansing, MI 48933 jheston@fraserlawfirm.com

Counsel for ABATE

Bryan A. Brandenburg Michael J. Pattwell Clark Hill 212 E. Grand River Ave. Lansing, MI 48906 bbrandenburg@clarkhill.com mpattwell@clarkhill.com

Consultants for the Association of Businesses Advocating Tariff Equity

Jeffry C. Pollock
Billie S. LaConte
Kitty A. Turner
J. Pollock, Inc.
12647 Olive Boulevard, Suite 585
St. Louis, MO 63141
jcp@jpollockinc.com
bsl@jpollockinc.com
kat@jpollockinc.com

Counsel for Attorney General

Celeste R. Gill
Assistant Attorneys General
Special Litigation Unit
6th Floor Williams Building
Post Office Box 30755
Lansing, MI 48909
gillc@michigan.gov
AG-ENRA-Spec-Lit@michigan.gov

Consultant for Attorney General

Sebastian Coppola, President Corporate Analytics 5928 Southgate Road Rochester, MI 48306 sebcoppola@corplytics.com

SERVICE LIST MPSC CASE NO. U-20322

Counsel for the Lansing Board of Water & Light

Jason T. Hanselman Richard J. Aaron John A. Janiszewski Dykema Gossett, PLLC 201 Townsend Street, Ste 900 Lansing, MI 48933 jhanselman@dykema.com raaron@dykema.com jjaniszewski@dykema.com

Counsel for the Residential Customer Group

Don L. Keskey Brian W. Coyer Public Law Resource Center PLLC 333 Albert Avenue, Ste. 425 East Lansing, MI 48823 donkeskey@publiclawresourcecenter.com bwcoyer@publiclawresourcecenter.com

Counsel for Michigan State University

Peter H. Ellsworth
Nolan J. Moody
Dickinson Wright
215 S. Washington Square, Ste. 200
Lansing, MI 48933
pellsworth@dickinsonwright.com
nmoody@dickinsonwright.com

14978891_1.docx