

201 North Washington Square | Suite 910 Lansing, Michigan 48933 Telephone 517 / 482-6237 | Fax 517 / 482-6937 | www.varnumlaw.com

Timothy J. Lundgren

tjlundgren@varnumlaw.com

April 5, 2019

Ms. Kavita Kale Executive Secretary Michigan Public Service Commission 7109 W. Saginaw Highway P.O. Box 30221 Lansing, MI 48909

Re: MPSC Case No. U-20162

Dear Ms. Kale:

Attached for electronic filing in the above-referenced matter, please find Energy Michigan Inc.'s Replies to Exceptions. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours, **VARNUM**

Timothy J. Lundgren

TJL/kc Enclosures c. All parties of record.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

)

)

In the matter of the application of **DTE ELECTRIC COMPANY** for authority to increase its rates, amend its rate schedules and rules governing the distribution and supply of electric energy, and for miscellaneous accounting authority

Case No. U-20162

REPLIES TO EXCEPTIONS OF ENERGY MICHIGAN, INC.

Timothy Lundgren Laura Chappelle Varnum, LLP Attorneys for Energy Michigan, Inc. 201 N. Washington Square, Suite 910 Lansing, MI 48933 (517) 482-6237

Contents

I.	The PFD is Correct in Rejecting DTE's Methodology for Calculating the Capacity Charge, and Affirming the Methodology Embraced by the Commission in its Previous Orders.	2
II.	The PFD's Support for Retaining Both Return to Service Options Should be Affirmed.	3
III.	Conclusions And Prayer For Relief	3

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

)

)

In the matter of the application of **DTE ELECTRIC COMPANY** for authority to increase its rates, amend its rate schedules and rules governing the distribution and supply of electric energy, and for miscellaneous accounting authority

Case No. U-20162

REPLIES TO EXCEPTIONS OF ENERGY MICHIGAN, INC.

On March 7, 2019, the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Sally J. Wallace issued a Proposal for Decision ("PFD") in this case. On March 25, 2019, Exceptions to the PFD were filed by the Association of Businesses Advocating Tariff Equity ("ABATE"); the Attorney General; DTE Electric Company ("DTE"); the Environmental Law and Policy Center, the Solar Energy Industries Association, and Vote Solar ("Joint Solar Advocates" or "JSA"); Energy Michigan, Inc. ("Energy Michigan"); Great Lakes Renewable Energy Association; Michigan Environmental Council, Natural Resources Defense Council, and Sierra Club ("MEC-NRDC-SC"); Soulardarity; Residential Customer Group; the Kroger Company; and the Michigan Public Service Commission ("MPSC" or the "Commission") Staff ("Staff").

Pursuant to Rule 435 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure before the Commission, R 792.10435, and in accordance with the schedule set in this proceeding, Energy Michigan submits these Replies to Exceptions to the PFD.

Energy Michigan's Replies to Exceptions will focus primarily on Exceptions filed by DTE. Energy Michigan's failure here to reply to Exceptions of any party does not signify an agreement with those Exceptions, nor a waiver of the positions it has taken in its testimony and briefing with respect to the issues raised in this proceeding.

I. The PFD is Correct in Rejecting DTE's Methodology for Calculating the Capacity Charge, and Affirming the Methodology Embraced by the Commission in its Previous Orders.

In its exception addressing the setting of DTE's capacity charge, under DTE's Section VI

B. of its filed Exceptions, DTE once again asserts that in its November 21, 2017 order in U-

18248 the Commission approved DTE's method for calculating the capacity charge. See DTE's

Exceptions, p. 97. This is misleading at best. As Energy Michigan discussed at length in its

Initial Brief, and specifically rebutted in its Reply Brief, the Commission did not approve the

calculation of the capacity charge from the DTE method that is at issue here:

Therefore, while DTE attempts to make it appear in its Initial Brief that the Commission in its Order in U-18248 affirmed the DTE methodology, in actual fact the methodology used for the adjustment at issue here was the one advocated by Energy Michigan's witnesses Mr. Rob Jennings and Mr. Ralph Smith, while the DTE methodology was rejected, as we have seen: "For all of these reasons, the Commission finds that the methodology for establishing the state reliability charge supported by the Jennings and Smith testimony is reasonable, appropriate, and consistent with Section 6w."[¹]

DTE presents no new arguments in its Exceptions for its method of calculating the capacity charge, which the Commission has now reviewed and rejected in its November 21, 2017 Order in Case No. U-18248, and again in its April 27, 2018 Order in U-18255. The ALJ in her PFD correctly affirmed that the Commission has strongly and repeatedly indicated its intention that the capacity charge be calculated according to the methodology set forth in U-18248 by Energy Michigan's witnesses Jennings and Smith, and this conclusion should be affirmed.

¹ Reply Brief of Energy MI, pp. 2-3, quoting from MPSC Order on November 21, 2017, Case No. U-18248, pp. 69.

II. The PFD's Support for Retaining Both Return to Service Options Should be Affirmed.

DTE also takes exception to the PFD's support for Energy Michigan's proposal to retain both return to service provisions. DTE Exceptions, pp. 154-155. While DTE claims that it "costs the Company to maintain that [i.e., the existing] option," DTE never presented any evidence of why it would create additional costs, or what those costs are, or whether those additional costs outweighed the additional benefits outlined by Energy Michigan. Despite the ALJ pointing out that "DTE Electric also suggests that retaining Option 2 somehow costs the company something; however, it fails to explain why that is the case or what the cost is," DTE has made no effort to do so in its Exceptions. See PFD, p. 298. Therefore, DTE's exception should be disregarded and the ALJ's recommendation to retain both pricing options (the 12-month and short term) should be adopted.

III. Conclusions And Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, Energy Michigan, Inc. respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order that:

- 1) Accepts the PFD's recommendations on the capacity calculation methodology;
- Accepts the PFD's recommendations on the return to service pricing options for Tariff EC2; and
- Consistent with Energy Michigan's filed Exceptions, accepts the changes to Section E2.7, Meter Reading, of DTE's EC2 tariff proposed in Energy Michigan's Exhibit EM-5.

Respectfully submitted,

Varnum LLP Attorneys for Energy Michigan, Inc.

April 5, 2019

By:___

Timothy Lundgren Laura Chappelle The Victor Center 201 N. Washington Square, Ste. 910 Lansing, MI 48933 517/482-6237

14765297_1.docx

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

)

))

In the matter of the application of **DTE ELECTRIC COMPANY** for authority to increase its rates, amend its rate schedules and rules governing the distribution and supply of electric energy, and for miscellaneous accounting authority

Case No. U-20162

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF MICHIGAN)) ss. COUNTY OF INGHAM)

Kimberly J. Champagne, the undersigned, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that she is a Legal Secretary at Varnum LLP and that on the 5th day of April, 2019, she served a copy of Energy Michigan, Inc.'s Replies to Exceptions upon those individuals listed on the attached Service List via email at their last known addresses.

Kimberly J. Champagne

SERVICE LIST MPSC CASE NO. U-20162

Administrative Law Judge

Hon. Sally Wallace Administrative Law Judge Michigan Public Service Comm. 7109 W. Saginaw Hwy., 3rd Floor Lansing, MI 48917 Wallaces2@michigan.gov

Counsel for DTE Electric Company

Andrea E. Hayden Jon P. Christinidis Lauren D. Donofrio Megan E. Irving One Detroit Plaza, 688 WCB Detroit, MI 48826 andrea.hayden@dteenergy.com jon.christinidis@dteenergy.com lauren.donofrio@dteenergy.com megan.irving@dteenergy.com

Counsel for the Michigan Public

Service Commission Amit T. Singh Daniel E. Sonneveldt Spencer A. Sattler 7109 W. Saginaw Hwy., 3rd Floor Lansing, MI 48919 Singha9@michigan.gov sonneveldtd@michigan.gov sattlers@michigan.gov MayabbL@michigan.gov mpscredratecase@michigan.gov

Counsel for Attorney General

Joel King Assistant Attorney General G. Mennen Williams Bldg., 6th Floor 525 W. Ottawa St. Lansing, MI 48909 <u>kingJ38@michigan.gov</u> <u>ag-enra-spec-lit@michigan.gov</u>

Sebastian Coppola Corporate Analytics 5928 Southgate Rd. Rochester, MI 48306 sebcoppola@corplytics.com

Counsel for Michigan Cable

Telecommunications Association Michael S. Ashton Fraser, Trebilcock, Davis & Dunlap, P.C. 124 W. Allegan, Ste. 1000 Lansing, MI 48933 <u>mashton@fraserlawfirm.com</u>

Counsel for Michigan Environmental Council; Natural Resources Defense

Council; Sierra Club Christopher M. Bzdok Olson, Bzdok & Howard, P.C. 420 E. Front St. Traverse City, MI 49686 <u>chris@envlaw.com</u> <u>karla@envlaw.com</u> <u>breanna@envlaw.com</u>

Counsel for Soulardarity

Lydia Barbash-Riley Olson, Bzdok & Howard, P.C. 420 E. Front St. Traverse City, MI 49686 <u>lydia@envlaw.com</u> <u>templeton@uchicago.edu</u> <u>rweinstock@uchicago.edu</u> <u>rebecca.j.boyd@gmail.com</u>

Counsel for The Kroger Company

Kurt J. Boehm Jody Kyler Cohn Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh St., Ste. 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 <u>KBoehm@BKLlawfirm.com</u> JKylerCohn@BKLlawfirm.com

Kevin Higgins Energy Strategies, LLC Parkside Towers 215 South State Street, Suite 200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 <u>khiggins@energystrat.com</u>

Counsel for Environmental Law & Policy Center; Ecology Center; Solar Energy Industries; Vote Solar

Margrethe Kearney William D. Kenworthy Jean-Luc Kreitner Environmental Law & Policy Center 1514 Wealthy Street SE, Ste. 256 Grand Rapids, MI 49506 <u>mkearney@elpc.org</u> <u>will@votesolar.org</u> jkreitner@elpc.org

Counsel for ABATE

Robert A.W. Strong Clark Hill PLC 151 S. Old Woodward Avenue, Suite 200 Birmingham, MI 48009 rstrong@clarkhill.com

Bryan A. Brandenburg Clark Hill PLC 212 E. Cesar E. Chavez Avenue Lansing, MI 48906 <u>bbrandenburg@clarkhill.com</u>

Consultants for ABATE

James R. Dauphinais Brubaker & Associates, Inc. 16690 Swingley Ridge Rd, Ste. 140 Chesterfield, MO 63017 jdauphinais@consultbai.com

Counsel for Wal-Mart

Melissa M. Horne Higgins, Cavanagh & Cooney, LLP 10 Dorrance Street, Suite 400 Providence, RI 02903 <u>mhorne@hcc-law.com</u> Counsel for Local 223, Utility Workers Union of America John R. Canzano Benjamin L. King McKnight, Canzano, Smith, Radtke & Brault, P.C. 423 N. Main St., Ste. 200 Royal Oak, MI 48067 jcanzano@michworkerlaw.com bking@michworkerlaw.com

Counsel for the Residential Customer Group; Great Lakes Renewable Energy Association, Inc. Don L. Keskey Brian W. Coyer Public Law Resource Center PLLC University Office Place

333 Albert Avenue, Suite 425 East Lansing, MI 48823 donkeskey@publiclawresourcecenter.com bwcoyer@publiclawresourcecenter.com

Counsel for Michigan Energy Innovation Business Council & Institute for Energy Innovation; Energy Michigan, Inc.; ChargePoint, Inc. Timothy J. Lundgren Laura A. Chappelle Toni L. Newell Justin K. Ooms Varnum LLP 201 N. Washington Square, Ste. 910 Lansing, MI 48933 tjlundgren@varnumlaw.com lachappelle@varnumlaw.com tlnewell@varnumlaw.com