To all the good people on this mailing list, I have a short and sad story to tell you. As a consumer of DTE I found my family and myself shocked to what happened on 08-3-2016 10:30 am. There where large and small trucks up and down my street, along with 5 to 6 DTE employees. They planned it well knowing I wasn't home. Most of them knocking at our front door and handed my wife a letter, stating that due to our lock on our analog meter was causing a interruption for safety purposes. (What a Joke). My main concern for the last 3 years, was one, having un-licensed DTE employee having minimum experience. Only these utility company's across this country know of the house fires these so called trained installer have caused. Secondly, we have 3 adult's over 65 and 3 boys under 9 years old in our home every 5 to 6 days a week as caregivers. Question, why are these so called smart meters allowed to be mounted on our homes having NO UL label. My analog meter had one! If I'm wrong then tell me what federal agency did the testing?
At what cost do we the consumer have to continue to pay a price, that end up hurting us in our pockets and in our health. The great and powerful always seem to win no matter how much we fight them.
It's now time to talk about MPSC rule 460-136-144. Please read R 460.2101-R 460.2199: These rule's clearly stated, the consumer must be given 30 days in righting, if no reply a 10 day notice will commence a automatic shut-off. You will find a copy of this rule at the end of this attachment. In all the letters to and from DTE you will only find one letter that says this is a follow up letter, dated 7-25-2016. Any letter before that was dated 5-8-2014. The letter before that, I submitted my letter dated 9-27-2013 that I wish to keep my analog meter and no other.

https://onenote.omceapps.live.com/o/onenoteframe.aspx?Fi—SD112AB2A6700AFACF!2174&H=emul&C=...
vary hot days. In the attachments you will find 3 homes with-in 6 doors from ours. Strange as it may be, they still retain there analog meter!!!

I only ask for your help in the H.B.4916, that's been in committee since last year September. Hoping and praying that our Michigan legislators in the house and senate will pass this choice bill. DTE talks about safety, having a lock on my meter. You must know that there is NO rules to state that a meter can not be locked out. People across this country for many many years have BEEN DOING this. You want to talk safety, how about Federal and State law's are forbidden other than a judge to ok it.

Next thing down the pick will be gas and water meters. Our state representatives, with there family's and mine are at risk of these over abundant RF's will indeed kill us off in time. I truly hope and pray our law makers will pass bill, H.B.4916. U-18120 needs to be stopped. I would like vary much to come and visit with you in this up and coming MPSC meeting to voice my concerns. How ever I'm Just unable to do so. Please show your deepest concern for all of us who have strong issues to what has been said here.

I look forward to be hearing from you and showing your support in this needed bill.

Kind Regards; Dan Mazurek
33732 Clarita st.
Livonia, Michigan 48152

Sent from Outlook
To whom it may concern,

I am writing in reference to MPSC Case No.-U-18120

I am writing to request that you expand and change the current rules which govern utility shutoff to make medical accommodations for those who have diagnosed conditions that relate to smart, advanced or opt-out utility meters.

I am sharing my personal history and experience to illustrate the need for this expansion.

Myself and family members have cancer and meters are near us, we can't be compromised with the health danger to our bodies from smart meters, let me keep analog, please! Let us keep them, 3 different residences are concerned here and also concerned about all people with this matter.

In conclusion, this expansion and change of the rules is absolutely essential for my health and well being and the health and well being of the residents of Michigan.

Thank you,

Janet and Patrick Livingston
15433 Essex
Grosse Pointe Park, Mi. 48230
Dear MPSC,

Attached is my statement as a Michigan citizen. Please enter it into the record and post online.

Thank You,

--

Patrick L. McGilvery
McGilvery Machine Company, Inc.
9476 Cambria Road
Reading, Michigan 49274
Land: 1-877-625-2345
Cell: 517-798-4072
We all know that there is a federal constitution, 1787. We also know there are state various state constitutions. Do not overlook that every one of these constitutions, all constitutions in America, rest on a common foundation of self-evident truths, 1776. A self-evident truth is also known as a first principle. In America, all governments and all things that government create have built in as part of their foundations these five first principles. The state can't give what they don't have.

All men are created equal in their life and liberty. Life and Liberty are the two una'lienable rights used to pursue your happiness. This first principle of life, liberty, pursuit of happiness places a correlative duty upon “all men” because they are created equal. Una'lienable meaning no lien can touch the right and no rule or edict can waive its duty. This is because the source of these rights and duties are the intentions of the Creator.

Michigan went after Jack “Dr. Death” Kevorkian in his second trial. That a contract may have expressed that a person wished to die but never does any person have the right to kill another unless in self-defense where the aggressor is violating their own correlative duty not to kill the victim. No one can waive a duty imposed by the Creator because the Creators intentions are beyond the reach of mankind except when rights clash.

These rights in our foundation of government “all men are created equal” embrace women too because in the fourth self-evident truth it states... governments are instituted “among Men”... Men is written as a personal pronoun pointing to a specific group who were derived from “all men”. Accordingly, we know that “among Men” were created equal with correlative duties just like “all men”. Finally, we see in the fifth self evident truth that the work of “among Men” which is government can be altered or abolished by the people. It is the Right of the people to do this and people includes not just men but also women. This first principle does not state it is the right of all men or it is the right of among Men nor does it state it is the right of the people, with the consent among Men. No reason or permission needed to alter or abolish. This first principle lays the authority by the people over government.

How does any utility company or any “creature of the state” end up with the power of a superior over people? Who gave this superiority specifically to a utility? When a Michigan citizen states:

1. I don't want a smart meter on my property but want the analog meter. Should be enough right there.
2. I don't want a smart meter due to fire hazards. Should be enough right there.
3. I don't want a smart meter due to health hazards. Should be enough right there.
4. I don't want a smart meter due to privacy hazards. Should be enough right there.

For the utility to ignore any one of these four objections assumes a superior. The government has created an entity or allows that entity to operate in Michigan using unknown principles that are foreign to the foundation which the people placed the structure of the government upon: a defined Republic. Thus, operatives in the government sanctions an entity it created that is seeking to overturn, overthrow the foundation of government. At this point Citizen access to a Grand Jury will be necessary as was once the case in America. It is the practice today that states attorneys sit around in view of all this treason and only serve as a roadblock to a Grand Jury. The experiment of states attorneys as “the only ones” to provide information to a Grand Jury “due to economics” has failed. Besides, its economic principle cannot be found anywhere on the foundation of government.

Grand Jury access is needed as millions of Americans now can see just how corrupt and rigged the justice system has become. Americans will learn that the Founders took what can only be discovered and never altered: that being Truth, and laid the foundation of government upon it. Then they took what can never be discovered only invented, a constitution, and placed it upon this foundation. Whatever the constitution means will be found only by being guided by the principles of its foundation. Again, government can not give what it does not have.

But there has been prostitution of the bench and worse: there has been government powers found by the bench that could never be found in people. Such powers can only be sourced from the communist principle: “If I can conceive it, I have the legal power to do it”. You may recall that the USSR operated 19 years without a constitution. How else could laws be justified but from thought only?

Mich. Const. Art. I, Sec. 22 last six words [with the comma in place as it was in 1836, 1851, 1912] and US Const. Art. III, Sec. 3 and most states constitution in bill of rights area. See Federalist #84.

The Grand Jury is not part of any government nor has it ever been part of any government. It is the people’s institution and only requires a proper charge, no longer practiced, from a judge at the time of swearing it in. See US v Williams 504 U.S. 36, 1992.
To: Lana Theis (lanatheis@house.mi.gov), Joe Hune (senjhune@senate.michigan.gov), Exec. Secretary -Michigan Public Service Commission (mpscedockets@michgov.com)

From: Sandy Taylor (taylor6595@gmail.com), 6837 Kestrel Ridge Rd., Brighton, MI 48116

Re: Case No. U-18120 (For August 22, 2016 meeting in Lansing, Michigan) and wherever else applicable

I understand that the MPSC is in the process of re-writing rules for utility company policy shutoff and that these new rules will be going to a joint legislative committee comprised of senators and representatives for approval; I am concerned about namely Rule 160 437 stating that a utility company could shut off power to its customers (and without providing written shut-off notices) if a condition exists on the premises that they the utility or a governmental agency determines to be hazardous.

1. This is vague, and the definition of a hazard needs to be more specific. And, a locked meter or cage should be exempt. I spoke with the Hamburg Fire Department Inspector. He indicated that a locked cage is not a safety hazard. He indicated that they arrive before the utility company and that the fire department always has bolt cutters with them and can open the cage right away; he didn’t consider it a safety hazard at all.

2. Power should not be disconnected from a customer without advanced written notification—and only for non-payment issues.

As far as health and safety goes, there are those of us who feel the current smart meter can produce unhealthy effects to people (they emit radiation, too); If you
think about it, whenever you go the doctor or dentist to get an X-ray, the employees promptly exit the room so they are not affected by the X-rays being administered. Yet these smart meters are being permitted to run on our homes 24/7 emitting radiation. That in itself doesn’t make any sense, does it? In addition, research reveals fire explosions across the U. S. with these new meters. Also, power shutoffs could cause someone to stumble and get injured in their homes. They would not have water, which we all have a right to, or perhaps heat. Some people are reliant on medical devices that are power operated; this would produce health issues for them. Everything being done seems to favor the utility companies and the “heck” with the people! Where are the people’s rights? I guess it’s okay if the utility companies can install something on our homes that we feel to be unsafe and hazardous but it is not okay for us to protect ourselves from trying to prevent them from doing so by locking our meters or whatever.

A way to resolve this issue would be to allow utility customers to retain their analog meters. Many people do not want the current Opt-out meter being offered. It is the same smart meter but with the radio turned off. The utility companies say analogs are no longer available; this is untrue. There are advertisements all over the internet where they can be purchased and that they meet the same standards as current analog meters. This is The United States of America; and we should have a choice. We shouldn’t be forced to have something on our homes if we feel it to be unsafe. Also, there are privacy issues. What is happening is that these meters are being forced down our throats whether we want them or not. And, furthermore, rules are being created that give the utility companies total control over us. I can’t believe this is even happening in America.

The Michigan Public Service Commission should be considering the people and not doing everything in its power to allow the utility companies to do whatever they wish, in my opinion. This new rule is an example of the utility companies being given more power to control the people instead of having them provide electrical service to the people. For example, either we accept their smart meter or we get our power shut off. Just think about that for a moment. That concept in itself is ridiculous.

I hope our representatives do NOT vote in favor of this change as is. I have confidence in my representatives but not in some others. I hope the MPSC will reconsider what it is doing here. Is it looking out for the people or Big Business?

Date: 9/20/16
I can not attend the meeting this Thursday in Lansing but I would like to be counted as one who is opposed to smart meters. We should not be forced to accept smart meters on our property or forced to pay extra in order to not have a smart meter. Smart meters are not safe for any one who has a compromised immune system or who doesn't want to risk developing a future health problem. Please consider giving every citizen the opportunity to make an informed decision without punishment or loss of service. The utility companies should be willing to work with customers.

Thank you for your time and consideration

Susan Fuller
54242 Pocahontas
Shelby Twp., Mi 48315
MPSC:

To whom it may concern,

I am writing in reference to MPSC Case No.-U-18120

I am writing to request that you expand and change the current rules the govern utility shutoff to make medical accommodations for those who have diagnosed conditions that relate to smart, advanced or opt-out utility meters.

With technology I understand that you are trying to make life simpler… but in essence our lives is being put at a health risk daily that effect people in different ways. Friends and relatives who already have smart meters installed on their homes have developed illnesses due to the smart meter. My husband is sensitive to microwave radio frequencies.

We would appreciate it very much if the rules stated that the opt out choice would be to either acquire an analog meter if one has a smart meter or keep the analog meter if it hasn’t been changed. The opt out choice at this point is to turn off one of the transmitters of the smart meter or advanced meter. The microwave radio frequencies still would be coming into the home or office. To opt out we would have to pay a $10.00 monthly fee to keep one transmitter off. This really doesn’t make sense to opt out and to pay more….as we are opting out of a smart meter that we don’t want.

This expansion and change of the rules is absolutely essential for my family’s health and well being and the health and well being of the residents of Michigan.

Thank you,

Brenda Kotsis
Clinton Township, MI  48035
Revision

Please note the date of subject meeting below should read September 22, 2016, not August 22, 2016. Sorry for the error on my part.

To: Lana Theis (lanatheis@house.mi.gov), Joe Hune (senjhune@senate.michigan.gov), Exec. Secretary -Michigan Public Service Commission (mpscedockets@michgov.com)

From: Sandy Taylor (taylor6595@gmail.com), 6837 Kestrel Ridge Rd., Brighton, MI 48116

Re: Case No. U-18120 (For August 22, 2016 meeting in Lansing, Michigan) and wherever else applicable

I understand that the MPSC is in the process of re-writing rules for utility company policy shutoff and that these new rules will be going to a joint legislative committee comprised of senators and representatives for approval; I am concerned about namely Rule 160 437 stating that a utility company could shut off power to its customers (and without providing written shut-off notices) if a condition exists on the premises that they the utility or a governmental agency determines to be hazardous.

1. This is vague, and the definition of a hazard needs to be more specific. And, a locked meter or cage should be exempt. I spoke with the Hamburg Fire Department Inspector. He indicated that a locked cage is not a safety hazard. He
indicated that they arrive before the utility company and that the fire department always has bolt cutters with them and can open the cage right away; he didn’t consider it a safety hazard at all.

2. Power should not be disconnected from a customer without advanced written notification—and only for non-payment issues.

As far as health and safety goes, there are those of us who feel the current smart meter can produce unhealthy effects to people (they emit radiation, too); If you think about it, whenever you go the doctor or dentist to get an X-ray, the employees promptly exit the room so they are not affected by the X-rays being administered. Yet these smart meters are being permitted to run on our homes 24/7 emitting radiation. That in itself doesn’t make any sense, does it? In addition, research reveals fire explosions across the U.S. with these new meters. Also, power shutoffs could cause someone to stumble and get injured in their homes. They would not have water, which we all have a right to, or perhaps heat. Some people are reliant on medical devices that are power operated; this would produce health issues for them. Everything being done seems to favor the utility companies and the “heck” with the people! Where are the people’s rights? I guess it’s okay if the utility companies can install something on our homes that we feel to be unsafe and hazardous but it is not okay for us to protect ourselves from trying to prevent them from doing so by locking our meters or whatever.

A way to resolve this issue would be to allow utility customers to retain their analog meters. Many people do not want the current Opt-out meter being offered. It is the same smart meter but with the radio turned off. The utility companies say analogs are no longer available; this is untrue. There are advertisements all over the internet where they can be purchased and that they meet the same standards as current analog meters. This is The United States of America; and we should have a choice. We shouldn’t be forced to have something on our homes if we feel it to be unsafe. Also, there are privacy issues. What is happening is that these meters are being forced down our throats whether we want them or not. And, furthermore, rules are being created that give the utility companies total control over us. I can’t believe this is even happening in America.

The Michigan Public Service Commission should be considering the people and not doing everything in its power to allow the utility companies to do whatever they wish, in my opinion. This new rule is an example of the utility companies being given more power to control the people instead of having them provide electrical service to the people. For example, either we accept their smart meter or we get
our power shut off. Just think about that for a moment. That concept in itself is ridiculous.

I hope our representatives do NOT vote in favor of this change as is. I have confidence in my representatives but not in some others. I hope the MPSC will reconsider what it is doing here. Is it looking out for the people or Big Business?

Date: 9/20/16
To Whom It May Concern,

I am a concerned Michigan resident who is asking you to allow meter choice. This is an issue that is of the highest priority to me and my family. Please allow the residents of Michigan to make their own choice about what type of meter is connected to their homes.

Sincerely,

Greg Petrilli
Grosse Pointe Farms, Michigan
Dear Panel

We were forced by DTE to have these new SMART METERS installed. We didn't have a choice. Either have them installed or have your power shut off. These meters cause all kinds of medical problems to people, kids and pets. This has been proven.

I want my analog meter back. They took away our constitutional right to choose.

Please be our voice and pass this Bill so we can choose again.

Counting on you.

Peggy Vauris
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

First, my husband and I apologize for not being able to attend the public hearing on Thursday, Sept. 22, 2016, in Lansing. My husband is 86 and has been under his doctor’s care for bronchitis this week and I think he is not quite up to traveling.

However, we would still like to have our thoughts written into the record in regard to including specifics that the utilities deploying smart meters must provide an analog or mechanical alternative meter for us since we are concerned about the radiation from the digital electronic meters (electromagnetic radiation emission).

We believe as citizens of our Republic, the United States of America, we should have a choice as to what type of meter we would prefer as safe and harmless to our health and welfare. This is the American way! In this day of extreme technological ability, would it not be possible for those who prefer the electrical analog meter to simply photograph their meter readings and send them to a pre-arranged e-mail website from the utility company on a monthly, or quarterly basis, if this meets the approval of said companies, so that the opt-out situation which is costly would not be an issue anymore. Of course, if this is not possible, then paying a nominal fee (cost of service basis) for someone to come out and read the meter would be understandably necessary. We understand that there are 20 states in the USA that now have such a rule or requirement for their utilities. Perhaps Michigan could join forces with them and make thousands of people satisfied and happy.

And concerning those who have had shutoffs unexpectedly, we find this is cruel if not criminal. If a person has paid their bills regularly, what reason is there to shut off their utilities except to spite them or make them afraid??? Is this what will make the companies more successful in gaining the trust of its clients and customers? I don't think so.

There are many compromises and solutions to this dilemma, but we all have to be open minded and able to listen to those who have had health issues and concerns about radiation in general. We are surrounded by radiation everywhere. I am not paranoid, but because radiation is invisible, how does one know how much one is getting through all of the towers, cell phones, i-pads and i-pods, computers, appliances, and dirty electricity which is in the air? We don't. To protect one’s health and those of one’s family is our God-given right and, again, as members of the Republic, we are entitled to the freedom to choose the SAFEST OPTIONS after we do the research.
Thank you in advance for your patience and understanding of this letter. We would appreciate a response as to what occurs as a result of the Hearing regarding this issue. Have a blessed day.

Please add our names to the vote for **UTILITY METER CHOICE 4 MICHIGAN**

**Mary and Carel Minjeur**  
11325 Brougham Drive  
Sterling Heights, MI 48312  
586-264-5433  
minjeur@att.net